



Christine, an unincorporated woman &lt;cmssyc@gmail.com&gt;

---

## yes, remove the bike lanes

---

**Christine, an unincorporated woman** <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Wed, Nov 5, 2025 at 9:21 PM

To: charmaine.williams@pc.ola.org

Dear Charmaine,

I live on the land known as Brampton.

The bike lanes are:

- ugly
- distracting
- a nuisance
- causing frustration
- causing longer commutes
- **idiotic, given that virtually no one uses them**
- a waste of money, unless the goal is to cause the above

Whoever is responsible for putting them in in the first place should personally pay to have them removed... or do the work themselves. It was easily foreseeable that they would be a nuisance.

I demand they be removed, immediately.

Please clarify what you mean by "incorporating the bike lanes into the boulevard". I would not want to see ugly, distracting bike lanes replacing green space either when there is no real need because **there are hardly any cyclists on the roads.**

Respectfully,  
Christine



Christine, an unincorporated woman &lt;cmssyc@gmail.com&gt;

---

**A-2025-00030**

---

**Christine, an unincorporated woman** <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Fri, Nov 7, 2025 at 1:04 PM

To: "Drysdale, Susan (elle | she, her) (ECCC)" &lt;Susan.Drysdale@ec.gc.ca&gt;

Cc: "Carle, Yves (ECCC)" &lt;Yves.Carle@ec.gc.ca&gt;, charmaine.williams@pc.ola.org, amandeep.sodhi@parl.gc.ca

Susan,

I am not seeking "additional information", rather I have pointed out that the response is misleading and that the referenced studies are **not** within the scope of the order.

An honest and accurate response would have been "no such records exist".

Environment and Climate Change Canada agents are complicit in a horrific and deadly fraud.

No one has *any* valid scientific evidence of *any* alleged virus, ever. Virology has always been illogical, unscientific and idiotic. I say this based on the virological literature itself and on literally hundreds of similar FOI confessions and failures from 40 different countries, all of which are readily available on my website. Furthermore, the illness passed off as "bird flu" isn't even a distinct illness and has never been shown to be contagious (which is why ECCC couldn't provide or cite any scientific studies showing contagion).

Hundreds of FOI confessions from 40 countries:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/fois-reveal-that-health-science-institutions-around-the-world-have-no-record-of-sars-cov-2-isolation-purification/>

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/fois-reveal-that-health-science-institutions-have-no-record-of-any-virus-having-been-isolated-purified-virology-isnt-a-science/>

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/freedom-of-information-responses-re-contagion/>

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/do-virologists-perform-valid-control-experiments-is-virology-a-science/>

Yet everyone in "government" and media is turning a blind eye to the idiocy of the pseudoscience and the blatant fraud that is being committed with deadly results.

Christine

[Quoted text hidden]



Christine, an unincorporated woman <cmssyc@gmail.com>

---

## yes, remove the bike lanes

---

**Christine, an unincorporated woman** <cmssyc@gmail.com>  
To: charmaine.williams@pc.ola.org

Mon, Nov 17, 2025 at 8:56 PM

Dear Charmaine,

Could I please have a response?

Also I would like to set up a meeting with you as I have questions as well as evidence of fraud within the provincial government - something that no one in the media or government is discussing.

Respectfully,  
Christine

[Quoted text hidden]



Christine, an unincorporated woman <cmssyc@gmail.com>

---

## yes, remove the bike lanes

---

**Christine, an unincorporated woman** <cmssyc@gmail.com>  
To: charmaine.williams@pc.ola.org

Mon, Dec 15, 2025 at 5:13 PM

Once again, could I please have a response?

Also I would like to set up a meeting with you as I have questions as well as evidence of fraud within the provincial government - something that no one in the media or government is discussing.

Respectfully,  
Christine

[Quoted text hidden]



Christine, an unincorporated woman <cmssyc@gmail.com>

**yes, remove the bike lanes**

**Williams, Charmaine** <charmaine.williams@pc.ola.org>  
To: "Christine, an unincorporated woman" <cmssyc@gmail.com>  
Cc: "Verma, Valentino" <valentino.verma@pc.ola.org>

Mon, Dec 15, 2025 at 5:46 PM

Good Evening Christine,

Thank you for reaching out to the office of MPP Charmaine Williams. Please accept our apologies for the delay in responding, as your previous email was unfortunately flagged to our junk folder.

We understand that you have concerns regarding bike lanes and broader provincial matters, and we appreciate you taking the time to share your perspective. Our office is happy to review constituent concerns and provide information on how we may be able to assist within our role.

To better understand how we can help, we kindly ask that you clarify what specific outcome you are seeking or what support you would like from our office at this time. Once we have that information, we can advise on appropriate next steps.

Thank you for your patience, and we look forward to hearing from you.

Kind Regards,  
Valentino



**Valentino Verma**  
Special Assistant

- 📞 905-454-1233
- ✉ Charmaine.Williams@pc.ola.org
- 📍 12-456 Vodden St. E. L6S 5Y7
- 🌐 <https://charmainewilliamsmpp.ca/>



**From:** Christine, an unincorporated woman <cmssyc@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, December 15, 2025 5:13 PM  
**To:** Williams, Charmaine <charmaine.williams@pc.ola.org>  
**Subject:** Re: yes, remove the bike lanes

! **CAUTION:** External message.

[Quoted text hidden]

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.



Christine, an unincorporated woman &lt;cmssyc@gmail.com&gt;

---

## yes, remove the bike lanes

---

**Christine, an unincorporated woman** <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Mon, Dec 15, 2025 at 7:07 PM

To: "Williams, Charmaine" <charmaine.williams@pc.ola.org>

Cc: "Verma, Valentino" <valentino.verma@pc.ola.org>

Good evening Charmaine and Valentino,

Thank you for Valentino's email from Charmaine's email account. Please clarify, is Valentino's email intended to speak for Charmaine?

To clarify: I am not a "constituent", I am a woman who has lived in the land known as Brampton for most of my life and I am currently on the area of land associated with Charmaine's office. Naturally, I have been and continue to be affected by things done by those working for the province and the province's creations, the municipalities.

**Regarding the bike lanes:** I already stated my position, my demand and my request for clarity in my November 5, 2025 email. I used plain, simple language. Are you having difficulty comprehending what I wrote? If not, kindly please provide a response.

**Regarding the aforementioned fraud:** As stated, I have evidence, not merely a perspective or concerns. Said fraud / constructive fraud has caused harm and destruction, including death. I expect Charmaine, like any responsible man or woman, to take an interest when someone contacts her saying that they have evidence of fraud within an organization in which she plays a key role. Obviously my goal is to have a conversation with a sincere, open-minded and responsible woman who will hear what I have to say about my evidence, the related fraud and harm caused, and then for her to take appropriate action to help bring it to an end and for justice to ultimately and quickly be served. Or, if Charmaine knows for a fact that I am wrong in my position, she can supply the evidence that proves I am wrong. I am 100% confident that she has no such evidence.

As stated, I have questions for Charmaine as well.

There are other matters as well but the bike lanes and the evidence and fraud mentioned above are where I wish to begin.

For clarity, I am not contracting with you and I have contacted you in an effort to save lives and to avoid harm and destruction that is entirely unnecessary.

All rights reserved,  
Christine

[Quoted text hidden]



Christine, an unincorporated woman <cmssyc@gmail.com>

---

## yes, remove the bike lanes

---

**Christine, an unincorporated woman** <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Thu, Dec 18, 2025 at 5:04 PM

To: "Williams, Charmaine" <charmaine.williams@pc.ola.org>

Cc: "Verma, Valentino" <valentino.verma@pc.ola.org>

Waiting for your response.

Christine

[Quoted text hidden]



Christine, an unincorporated woman &lt;cmssyc@gmail.com&gt;

---

## Refused for cause: no contract, no consent

---

Christine, an unincorporated woman &lt;cmssyc@gmail.com&gt;

Fri, Jan 2, 2026 at 4:54 PM

To: "Williams, Charmaine" &lt;charmaine.williams@pc.ola.org&gt;

Cc: "Verma, Valentino" &lt;valentino.verma@pc.ola.org&gt;

I am still waiting for your response.

Also, Charmaine, some of your promotional material was delivered to the place I live.

In your promotional material / offer to contract, you wrote "Working for You!" and "I remain committed to working hard for the people of Brampton Centre...."

Where do you get the idea that you work for me or for the average man or woman "of Brampton Centre" as you put it? You don't even know me, or bother to answer my emails, let alone work for me. I never gave you power of attorney, I never made you my agent. I do not know you or trust you. I am not your "constituent" or an "incorporated inhabitant". We have no contract. You do not represent me.

I don't even know anyone who has given you authority to represent them.

I am also not are a party to any of the "laws" that are (*selectively*) enforced by the policy enforcers that you call "law enforcement" and who protect the criminals in "Parliament", "government", city hall, etc.

On the back side of your mailing, you assert that "IT IS AN HONOUR TO REPRESENT YOU...." See above.

Again, **you do not and cannot represent me**. Any claim of representation is false and fraudulent.

No one in "Parliament" or "government" can delegate such authority to you, because they cannot delegate what they do not have. Same, regarding voters/electors.

Questions:

Are you actually "representing" legal fictions, i.e. Massey, Christine Xxxxx, that were created by "the Crown" in order to have jurisdiction over them and trick men and women into identifying with them?

Are trustees in "public service" tricking people into taking the role of debtor, surety or trustee, so that they can reap the benefits?

Are you acting on the bizarre notion that "inhabitants" were "incorporated" by strangers without their consent or even their knowledge? Are "inhabitants" even people?

On what grounds do you and your colleagues claim to both represent and have authority over people and their private property?

Respectfully,

Not agreeing to contact, All rights reserved, Without recourse  
Christine

On Thu, Dec 18, 2025 at 5:04 PM Christine, an unincorporated woman <cmssyc@gmail.com> wrote:

Waiting for your response.  
Christine

On Mon, Dec 15, 2025 at 7:07 PM Christine, an unincorporated woman <cmssyc@gmail.com> wrote:

Good evening Charmaine and Valentino,

Thank you for Valentino's email from Charmaine's email account. Please clarify, is Valentino's email intended to speak for Charmaine?



Christine, an unincorporated woman &lt;cmssyc@gmail.com&gt;

---

**Refused for cause: no contract, no consent**

---

**Verma, Valentino** <valentino.verma@pc.ola.org>  
To: "Christine, an unincorporated woman" <cmssyc@gmail.com>  
Cc: "Williams, Charmaine" <charmaine.williams@pc.ola.org>

Mon, Jan 5, 2026 at 12:36 PM

Good Morning Christine,

Thank you for your emails and for sharing your concerns regarding the bike lanes and other matters. We understand that you have questions and evidence you would like to discuss, and we appreciate you taking the time to reach out to our office.

MPP Charmaine Williams would be available to meet with you tomorrow between 12:30 PM and 2:00 PM at the constituency office located at 456 Vodden Street, Brampton. This will give you an opportunity to discuss your concerns directly with MPP Williams.

Please confirm if this time works for you so we can make the necessary arrangements. We look forward to meeting with you.

Kind Regards,



---

**From:** Christine, an unincorporated woman <cmssyc@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, January 2, 2026 4:54 PM  
**To:** Williams, Charmaine <charmaine.williams@pc.ola.org>  
**Cc:** Verma, Valentino <valentino.verma@pc.ola.org>  
**Subject:** Refused for cause: no contract, no consent

[Quoted text hidden]



Christine, an unincorporated woman <cmssyc@gmail.com>

---

## Refused for cause: no contract, no consent

---

**Christine, an unincorporated woman** <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Sat, Jan 17, 2026 at 8:08 PM

To: "Verma, Valentino" <valentino.verma@pc.ola.org>

Cc: "Williams, Charmaine" <charmaine.williams@pc.ola.org>

Apologies, I somehow missed your message and just found it now.

Would this coming week work?

Christine

[Quoted text hidden]



Christine, an unincorporated woman &lt;cmssyc@gmail.com&gt;

---

**Refused for cause: no contract, no consent**

---

**Verma, Valentino** <valentino.verma@pc.ola.org>

Mon, Jan 19, 2026 at 11:04 AM

To: "Christine, an unincorporated woman" &lt;cmssyc@gmail.com&gt;

Cc: "Williams, Charmaine" &lt;charmaine.williams@pc.ola.org&gt;, "Doolittle, Justin" &lt;justin.doolittle@pc.ola.org&gt;

Good Morning Christine,

I have cc my colleague Justin in this email. He will follow up with you for dates and time.

We look forward to seeing you here.

Kind Regards,



---

**From:** Christine, an unincorporated woman <cmssyc@gmail.com>**Sent:** Saturday, January 17, 2026 8:08 PM**To:** Verma, Valentino <valentino.verma@pc.ola.org>**Cc:** Williams, Charmaine <charmaine.williams@pc.ola.org>**Subject:** Re: Refused for cause: no contract, no consent

[Quoted text hidden]



Christine, an unincorporated woman &lt;cmssyc@gmail.com&gt;

---

**Refused for cause: no contract, no consent**

---

**Doolittle, Justin** <justin.doolittle@pc.ola.org>

Mon, Jan 19, 2026 at 11:25 AM

To: "Christine, an unincorporated woman" &lt;cmssyc@gmail.com&gt;

Cc: "Williams, Charmaine" &lt;charmaine.williams@pc.ola.org&gt;, "Verma, Valentino" &lt;valentino.verma@pc.ola.org&gt;

Hello Christine,

Yes, we can arrange for a meeting this week.

As of right now, we can offer:

- Tomorrow, Tuesday January 20, 2026 - 2:00pm - 2:30pm
- Tomorrow, Tuesday January 20, 2026 - 2:30pm - 3:00pm

These are the times we have available. If the times for this week do not work with your schedule, I can look into some time slots for next week.

Kind regards,  
Justin Doolittle

**Justin Doolittle**

Director of Scheduling &  
Communications  
Constituency Office

☎ (905) 454-1233

✉ charmaine.williams@pc.ola.org

📍 12 - 456 Vodden St. E. Brampton, L6S 5Y7

🌐 charmainewilliamsmp.ca

---

**From:** Verma, Valentino <valentino.verma@pc.ola.org>**Sent:** Monday, January 19, 2026 11:04 AM**To:** Christine, an unincorporated woman <cmssyc@gmail.com>**Cc:** Williams, Charmaine <charmaine.williams@pc.ola.org>; Doolittle, Justin <justin.doolittle@pc.ola.org>**Subject:** Fw: Refused for cause: no contract, no consent

[Quoted text hidden]



Christine, an unincorporated woman <cmssyc@gmail.com>

---

## Refused for cause: no contract, no consent

---

**Christine, an unincorporated woman** <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Mon, Jan 19, 2026 at 12:20 PM

To: "Doolittle, Justin" <justin.doolittle@pc.ola.org>

Cc: "Williams, Charmaine" <charmaine.williams@pc.ola.org>, "Verma, Valentino" <valentino.verma@pc.ola.org>

Thank you Justin.

Let's wait for next week, Thursday or Friday, or the week following.

[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]



Christine, an unincorporated woman &lt;cmssyc@gmail.com&gt;

---

**Refused for cause: no contract, no consent**

---

**Doolittle, Justin** <justin.doolittle@pc.ola.org>

Mon, Jan 19, 2026 at 12:49 PM

To: "Christine, an unincorporated woman" &lt;cmssyc@gmail.com&gt;

Cc: "Williams, Charmaine" &lt;charmaine.williams@pc.ola.org&gt;, "Verma, Valentino" &lt;valentino.verma@pc.ola.org&gt;

Hello Christine,

No worries. Next week or the week after will work great!

Here are some suggestions, please let me know if one of the following times can work for you:

- Monday, January 26, 2026 - 11:00am - 11:30am
- Monday, January 26, 2026 - 11:30am - 12:00pm

If these options do not work, I can offer sometimes the week after.

Kind regards,  
Justin Doolittle**Justin Doolittle**Director of Scheduling &  
Communications  
Constituency Office

☎ (905) 454-1233

✉ charmaine.williams@pc.ola.org

📍 12 - 456 Vodden St. E. Brampton, L6S 5Y7

🌐 charmainewilliamsmp.ca

---

**From:** Christine, an unincorporated woman <cmssyc@gmail.com>**Sent:** Monday, January 19, 2026 12:20 PM**To:** Doolittle, Justin <justin.doolittle@pc.ola.org>**Cc:** Williams, Charmaine <charmaine.williams@pc.ola.org>; Verma, Valentino <valentino.verma@pc.ola.org>

[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]



Christine, an unincorporated woman <cmssyc@gmail.com>

---

## Refused for cause: no contract, no consent

---

**Christine, an unincorporated woman** <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Mon, Jan 19, 2026 at 1:21 PM

To: "Doolittle, Justin" <justin.doolittle@pc.ola.org>

Cc: "Williams, Charmaine" <charmaine.williams@pc.ola.org>, "Verma, Valentino" <valentino.verma@pc.ola.org>

Thanks Justin,

Let's go with the week following, any day.

Christine

[Quoted text hidden]



Christine, an unincorporated woman <cmssyc@gmail.com>

**Refused for cause: no contract, no consent**

**Doolittle, Justin** <justin.doolittle@pc.ola.org>  
To: "Christine, an unincorporated woman" <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Mon, Jan 19, 2026 at 1:40 PM

Hi Christine,

Will the Monday the week after, February 2, work for a meeting?

If so, would 11:00am - 11:30am or 11:30am - 12:00pm work?

Thank you again for your patience in this scheduling process.

Kind regards,  
Justin Doolittle



**Justin Doolittle**

Director of Scheduling &  
Communications  
Constituency Office

- ☎ (905) 454-1233
- ✉ charmaine.williams@pc.ola.org
- 📍 12 - 456 Vodden St. E. Brampton, L6S 5Y7
- 🌐 charmainewilliamsmpp.ca

**From:** Christine, an unincorporated woman <cmssyc@gmail.com>

**Sent:** Monday, January 19, 2026 1:21 PM

[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]



Christine, an unincorporated woman <cmssyc@gmail.com>

---

## Refused for cause: no contract, no consent

---

**Christine, an unincorporated woman** <cmssyc@gmail.com>  
To: "Doolittle, Justin" <justin.doolittle@pc.ola.org>

Mon, Jan 19, 2026 at 1:52 PM

Hi Justin,

Yes, February 2nd at 11:30 will work.

Thank you.

Christine

[Quoted text hidden]



Christine, an unincorporated woman &lt;cmssyc@gmail.com&gt;

---

**Refused for cause: no contract, no consent**

---

**Doolittle, Justin** <justin.doolittle@pc.ola.org>  
To: "Christine, an unincorporated woman" <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Mon, Jan 19, 2026 at 1:55 PM

Hi Christine,

I have booked you in for Monday February 2, 2026 - 11:30am - 12:00pm.

Just to confirm, the meeting will take place at our constituency office located at 456 Vodden Street East, Brampton, ON L6V 5Y7.

We look forward to connecting.

Kind regards,  
Justin Doolittle

**Justin Doolittle**

Director of Scheduling &  
Communications  
Constituency Office

(905) 454-1233  
charmaine.williams@pc.ola.org  
12 - 456 Vodden St. E. Brampton, L6S 5Y7  
charmainewilliamsmp.ca

---

**From:** Christine, an unincorporated woman <cmssyc@gmail.com>

**Sent:** Monday, January 19, 2026 1:52 PM

**To:** Doolittle, Justin <justin.doolittle@pc.ola.org>

[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]



Christine, an unincorporated woman &lt;cmssyc@gmail.com&gt;

---

**Refused for cause: no contract, no consent**

---

**Doolittle, Justin** <justin.doolittle@pc.ola.org>  
To: "Christine, an unincorporated woman" <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Sun, Jan 25, 2026 at 1:41 PM

Hello Christine,

I hope all is well.

I am reaching out because of a slight scheduling conflict for the meeting booked for next Monday, Feb 2, 2026 - 11:30am-12:00pm.

As I am in the process of re-scheduling your meeting with MPP Williams, I wanted to ask if Wednesday, Feb 4, 2026 - 11:30am-12:00pm can work for you.

Kind regards,  
Justin Doolittle

**Justin Doolittle**

Director of Scheduling &  
Communications  
Constituency Office

(905) 454-1233  
charmaine.williams@pc.ola.org  
12 - 456 Vodden St. E. Brampton, L6S 5Y7  
charmainewilliamsmp.ca

---

**From:** Christine, an unincorporated woman <cmssyc@gmail.com>

**Sent:** Monday, January 19, 2026 2:12 PM

**To:** Doolittle, Justin <justin.doolittle@pc.ola.org>

**Subject:** Re: Refused for cause: no contract, no consent

Thank you Justin.

Christine

[Quoted text hidden]



Christine, an unincorporated woman &lt;cmssyc@gmail.com&gt;

---

**Refused for cause: no contract, no consent**

---

**Christine, an unincorporated woman** <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Sun, Jan 25, 2026 at 1:47 PM

To: "Doolittle, Justin" &lt;justin.doolittle@pc.ola.org&gt;

Hi Justin,

Sure, Wednesday, Feb 4, 2026 - 11:30am-12:00pm is fine.

Thanks and cheers,  
ChristineOn Sun, Jan 25, 2026 at 1:41 PM Doolittle, Justin  
<[justin.doolittle@pc.ola.org](mailto:justin.doolittle@pc.ola.org)> wrote:

>  
> Hello Christine,  
>  
> I hope all is well.  
>  
> I am reaching out because of a slight scheduling conflict for the meeting booked for next Monday, Feb 2, 2026 - 11:30am-12:00pm.  
>  
> As I am in the process of re-scheduling your meeting with MPP Williams, I wanted to ask if Wednesday, Feb 4, 2026 - 11:30am-12:00pm can work for you.  
>  
> Kind regards,  
> Justin Doolittle  
>  
> 

---

  
> From: Christine, an unincorporated woman <cmssyc@gmail.com>  
> Sent: Monday, January 19, 2026 2:12 PM  
> To: Doolittle, Justin <[justin.doolittle@pc.ola.org](mailto:justin.doolittle@pc.ola.org)>  
> Subject: Re: Refused for cause: no contract, no consent  
>  
> Thank you Justin.  
> Christine  
>

> On Mon, Jan 19, 2026 at 1:56 PM Doolittle, Justin <[justin.doolittle@pc.ola.org](mailto:justin.doolittle@pc.ola.org)> wrote:

>  
> Hi Christine,  
>  
> I have booked you in for Monday February 2, 2026 - 11:30am - 12:00pm.  
>  
> Just to confirm, the meeting will take place at our constituency office located at 456 Vodden Street East, Brampton, ON L6V 5Y7.  
>  
> We look forward to connecting.  
>  
> Kind regards,  
> Justin Doolittle  
>  
> 

---

  
> From: Christine, an unincorporated woman <cmssyc@gmail.com>  
> Sent: Monday, January 19, 2026 1:52 PM  
> To: Doolittle, Justin <[justin.doolittle@pc.ola.org](mailto:justin.doolittle@pc.ola.org)>  
> Subject: Re: Refused for cause: no contract, no consent  
>  
> Hi Justin,  
>  
> Yes, February 2nd at 11:30 will work.



Christine, an unincorporated woman <cmssyc@gmail.com>

**Refused for cause: no contract, no consent**

**Doolittle, Justin** <justin.doolittle@pc.ola.org>  
To: "Christine, an unincorporated woman" <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Sun, Jan 25, 2026 at 5:17 PM

Hi Christine,

Thank you for confirming.  
See you then!

Kind regards,  
Justin Doolittle



**Justin Doolittle**

Director of Scheduling &  
Communications  
Constituency Office

- ☎ (905) 454-1233
- ✉ charmaine.williams@pc.ola.org
- 📍 12 - 456 Vodden St. E. Brampton, L6S 5Y7
- 🌐 charmainewilliamsmpp.ca

**From:** Christine, an unincorporated woman <cmssyc@gmail.com>

**Sent:** Sunday, January 25, 2026 1:47 PM

[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]




Christine, an unincorporated woman &lt;cmssyc@gmail.com&gt;


## follow up re virus/contagion FOIs, legislation not binding on Crown, etc.

Christine, an unincorporated woman &lt;cmssyc@gmail.com&gt;

Thu, Feb 5, 2026 at 10:46 PM


To: "Williams, Charmaine" &lt;charmaine.williams@pc.ola.org&gt;, "Doolittle, Justin" &lt;justin.doolittle@pc.ola.org&gt;, "Verma, Valentino" &lt;valentino.verma@pc.ola.org&gt;


 2023 09 21 to Attorney General asking if ma.pdf

 delivery confirmation.pdf

 2023 09 27 SCANNED Letter to Doug Downey w deliv...

 2023 09 28 delivery confirmation.pdf

 Banerjee et al.pdf

 Library of Parliament How Bank of Canada Creates M...

Hi Charmaine,

Thanks for the memorable meeting yesterday. I will never forget your insistence that **some extra dead people** (during a time of **extreme and unprecedented cruelty and terrorism** by the establishment) are **all the evidence necessary** to prove the "covid" narrative and justify said terrorism. Or your insistence that conflating effects (deaths) with the imaginary cause (SARS-COV-2) is a perfectly normal and acceptable thing to do. Or how you implied that people who demand **valid logical evidence** are heartless, unfeeling, unreasonable, illogical...

The hundreds and hundreds of failed virus/contagion/germ FOI responses from hundreds of institutions (225+) in 40 different countries have all been freely available for anyone to read/download on my website all this time... not once has a shred of valid evidence been provided for any "germ" narrative, by any institution. The links are provided further below. My newsletters are [here](#). [Here](#) is a 40 minute video introduction to my FOI collection.

The idiotic and fraudulent Canadian study from researchers at University of Toronto, McMaster, Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre and Mount Sinai Hospital (Toronto) is attached. Their idea of "virus isolation" is stressing monkey kidney cells and getting them to break down in a lab dish. Their "research" provides zero insight into anything that goes on in the real world. Their "viral genome" is a computer model resulting from the **assembly** of thousands/millions of smaller sequences found in a human/monkey/cow/bacteria/fungi/etc. mixture. They added little red arrows to electron microscope images of their wildly-unnatural and irrelevant cell cultures and declared that the highlighted particles were "the virus". **Those particles were never shown:** 1) to be present in any bodily fluid/tissue, 2) to have the purported "genome" or proteins, 3) to hijack and replicate in cells, 4) to cause anything to happen, 5) to be anything more than cellular debris. They did not purify those particles, they did not use them as the independent variable in any experiment. They engaged in wild leaps of illogic, which is the golden rule of virology. I could go on but won't. The Chinese and other studies (CDC, etc.) are no better.

My **notarized affidavit** and an **updated declaration** about the FOIs and the idiocy of virology is attached.

Rosenau's 1919 "spanish flu" study is attached, a very easy read, wherein everything imaginable was attempted in an effort to demonstrate the supposed transmissibility of the allegedly highly contagious illness. They failed spectacularly, despite doing things that would be considered unethical today.

At the bottom of [this page](#) is a video showing screenshots from ~90 studies wherein researchers expressed doubt or lack of evidence regarding the claimed transmissibility of polio.



Rosenau - spanish flu 1919.pdf

My friend Mike Stone's latest article on the contagion scam is [here](#).

[Here](#) on my website you can find the Public Health Ontario screenshots that I mentioned and tons more information and evidence about the reality of the covid hoax and germ/contagion hoaxes more generally.

Now go ahead and **prove your claims** by citing **valid scientific evidence** - something no one else on the planet has been able to do. I'll stand corrected if you can.

**The burden of proof is on those making the positive virus/contagion claims...** especially on those who used "public office" to bully others to stay home, diaper their faces, close their businesses, accept experimental mystery-ingredient injections, die alone in hospitals and "care" facilities, etc., etc., etc... there is no burden on me or anyone else to prove a negative.

And there is nothing unreasonable about my standard of evidence. Children are taught and understand the logic of the scientific method and they do a better job of applying it than virologists.

Freedom of Information Responses reveal that **health/science institutions around the world (225 and counting!) have no record of SARS-COV-2** (the alleged covid virus) **isolation/purification, anywhere, ever:**

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/fois-reveal-that-health-science-institutions-around-the-world-have-no-record-of-sars-cov-2-isolation-purification/>

**Excel file listing 225 institutions:**

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2024/09/Institution-list-for-website.xlsx>

**FOI responses re other imaginary "viruses" (HIV, avian influenza, HPV, influenza, measles, etc., etc., etc.):**

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/fois-reveal-that-health-science-institutions-have-no-record-of-any-virus-having-been-isolated-purified-virology-isnt-a-science/>

**FOIs re secretive and unscientifically "mock infected" cells (aka invalid controls) and computer-model "viral genomes":**

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/do-virologists-perform-valid-control-experiments-is-virology-a-science/>

**3000+ pages of "virus" FOIs** in compilation pdfs:

<https://tinyurl.com/IsolationFOIs>

**Failed freedom of Information responses re contagion:**

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/freedom-of-information-responses-re-contagion/>

Do health and science institutions have **studies proving that bacteria CAUSE disease?**

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/do-health-authorities-have-studies-proving-that-bacteria-cause-disease-lets-find-out-via-freedom-of-information/>

As stated, section 229(c), CCC:

**Culpable homicide is MURDER:**

Culpable homicide is murder if a person, for an unlawful object, does anything that they know is likely to cause death, and by doing so causes the death of a human being, even if they desire to effect their object without causing death or bodily harm to any human being.

**Unlawful objects obviously likely to cause death:**

- ordering/bullying people to stay home for extended periods, without due process and without right
- getting inherently-dangerous "needles into arms" without valid scientific evidence of contagion or that the claimed virus even exists and despite alarming danger-signals, all without informed consent, all based on fraud/constructive fraud...
- promoting/administering fraudulent tests that frighten people into doing things that are harmful to their health and to society (toxic drugs, dangerous injections, face-diapers, toxic "sanitizers", social isolation, closing businesses, snitching on neighbours, destroying relationships, etc., etc., etc.)
- etc.

Of course "the law" will never be applied against the perps in government. They can "murder" people and not a single man or woman even gets charged. Yet when I peacefully, calmly visited the home of Thomas Piggott (only because security goons were hired to keep concerned people like me from accessing the "public health" unit), to deliver an evidence package and cease and desist, 4 cop cars showed up within 3 or 4 minutes of him phoning police. The phone recording revealed that he is on a "**priority dispatch**" list. And fyi, Thomas and his "health unit" colleagues failed every single FOI evidence challenge presented to them, as shown at the FOI links provided. They basically admitted that they know nothing and simply go along with whatever their handlers higher up the chain tell them.

As stated yesterday...

*In a given inquiry into whether or not a particular Crown prerogative has been limited or displaced by a particular statute, the court will engage in a two-step process. First, an investigation will be made into whether or not the statute at issue in fact binds the Crown. This investigation yields a "yes" or "no" answer: either the statute is binding on the relevant authority of the Crown, or it isn't. Second, if the statute is binding on the Crown, an inquiry will be made into whether the statute acts to limit or displace the Crown prerogative at issue.<sup>25</sup>*

**With respect to the first step, i.e. whether a statute binds the Crown, the Crown benefits from an associated immunity, the effect of which is to create a presumption against applicability of the statute to the Crown.<sup>26</sup> The Interpretation Act<sup>27</sup> confirms this immunity federally.<sup>28</sup> It reads:**

***No enactment is binding on Her Majesty or affects Her Majesty or Her Majesty's rights or prerogatives in any manner, except as mentioned or referred to in the enactment.<sup>29</sup>***

<https://www.canada.ca/en/department-national-defence/corporate/reports-publications/military-law/crown-prerogative/introduction.html>

That source also states that the Crown is a **legal person** (fiction). It's a mental construct requiring mental gymnastics and is used to justify a tiny group of people bossing the rest of us around. And as my friend pointed out, we are told that the queen or king represents the Crown... yet in court a "Crown" lawyer shows up to supposedly represent R./Rex/Regina. Just a tad convoluted. And I hadn't had any dealings with a queen or king to begin with, so couldn't have done anything to them. The whole thing is nonsensical, but lots of \$\$ is being made by the Crown actors.

Fictional viruses provide a fictional common enemy, further distracting from and facilitating what some might perceive as a **war on humanity**.

As discussed:

**Statute Law Revision Act, 1893 repealed the 1867 BNA Act enacting clause**

<https://www.justice.gc.ca/eng/rp-pr/csj-sjc/constitution/lawreg-loireg/p2t31.html>

**CANADA**, CIK 0000230098, registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, principal executive office located in the **District of Columbia**, mailing address: DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE, 90 ELGIN STREET, OTTAWA, ONTARIO, Canada (Federal Level), K1A 0G5

<https://www.sec.gov/edgar/browse/?CIK=230098>

[https://www.sec.gov/edgar/search/#/dateRange=all&ciks=0000230098&entityName=CANADA%2520\(CIK%25200000230098\)&filter\\_location=DC](https://www.sec.gov/edgar/search/#/dateRange=all&ciks=0000230098&entityName=CANADA%2520(CIK%25200000230098)&filter_location=DC)

So I would like to know exactly what you and your colleagues mean when you speak of "Canada".

Re the fraudulent/deceptive nature of bank "loans":



Werner-2014-Can-Banks-Create-Money.pdf

### Bank of England:

#### Money creation in the modern economy

By Michael McLeay, Amar Radia and Ryland Thomas of the Bank's Monetary Analysis Directorate

"This article explains how **the majority of money in the modern economy is created by commercial banks making loans**. Money creation in practice differs from some popular misconceptions..."

<https://www.bankofengland.co.uk/quarterly-bulletin/2014/q1/money-creation-in-the-modern-economy>

Library of Parliament (Canada) research publication:

#### How the Bank of Canada Creates Money Through its Asset Purchases

by Brett Stuckey, Penny Becklumb, Mathieu Frigon

Economics, Resources and International Affairs Division

"Money is created in the Canadian economy in two main ways: **through private commercial bank loans...**"

[https://lop.parl.ca/sites/PublicWebsite/default/en\\_CA/ResearchPublications/201551E](https://lop.parl.ca/sites/PublicWebsite/default/en_CA/ResearchPublications/201551E)

#### Can banks individually create money out of nothing? — The theories and the empirical evidence - Richard Werner

<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1057521914001070>

On November 21, 2024 I witnessed "Madam Justice Janet E. Mills" in the "Superior Court of Justice", Milton, repeatedly tell a man, Denis M., that if the lawyer who is having his wages garnished doesn't really represent the Bank of Nova Scotia and isn't really authorized by the bank (and is actually engaged in fraud... on top of the bank "loan" fraud): that's the lawyer's problem and **"not your concern... not your problem."**

**Here** is the 2023 newsletter where I published the fake/forged intake court endorsement, warrant for arrest and endorsement of warrant that were used by the "authorities" in Peterborough when pursuing **false criminal "charges"** against MASSEY, CHRISTINE.

Attached are 2 of my 6 registered letters to Doug Downey acting as Attorney General about that matter, along with proofs of delivery. I received **zero responses** from Doug or anyone else at his office, ever.

Not agreeing to contract, All rights reserved, Without recourse  
Christine

---

### 5 attachments



**BAILEY COVID-19-FRAUD-WAR-ON-HUMANITY.pdf**

5244K



**bailey-farewell-virology 220915.pdf**

17182K



**2024 08 12 virology and FOIs affidavit NOTARIZED.pdf**

806K



**2025 09 21 virus FOIs declaration partly updated - Christine - autographed.pdf**

1993K



**Bank of England quarterly bulletin money-creation-in-the-modern-economy.pdf**

112K



Christine, an unincorporated woman &lt;cmssyc@gmail.com&gt;

---

**Re: Follow up re virus/contagion FOIs, legislation not binding on Crown, etc.**


---

**Miller, Talibah** <talibah.miller@pc.ola.org>  
 To: "cmssyc@gmail.com" <cmssyc@gmail.com>  
 Cc: "Williams, Charmaine" <charmaine.williams@pc.ola.org>

Wed, Feb 11, 2026 at 10:39 AM

Dear Christine,

Thank you for taking the time to meet with MPP Charmaine Williams here at the constituency office. We appreciate the opportunity to hear your perspective and the information you have shared.

After careful consideration, our office will not be engaging further on this matter nor providing you with additional assistance within our constituency mandate. As you have clearly expressed a lack of trust in the institutions and systems from which you are seeking information and accountability, continued engagement through our office would not be productive.

We wish you well in your ongoing efforts.

Sincerely,  
 Talibah A. Miller  
 Office Manager

**Talibah Miller**

Director of Constituency Office &  
 Community Engagement  
 Constituency Office

(905) 454-1233  
 charmaine.williams@pc.ola.org  
 12 - 456 Vodden St. E. Brampton, L6S 5Y7  
 charmainewilliamsmp.ca

**From:** Christine, an unincorporated woman <cmssyc@gmail.com>

**Sent:** Thursday, February 5, 2026 10:46 PM

**To:** Williams, Charmaine <charmaine.williams@pc.ola.org>; Doolittle, Justin <justin.doolittle@pc.ola.org>; Verma, Valentino <valentino.verma@pc.ola.org>

**Subject:** follow up re virus/contagion FOIs, legislation not binding on Crown, etc.

**! CAUTION:** External message.



2023 09 21 to Attorney General asking if ma.pdf



Christine, an unincorporated woman &lt;cmssyc@gmail.com&gt;

---

**Re: Follow up re virus/contagion FOIs, legislation not binding on Crown, etc.**


---

Christine, an unincorporated woman &lt;cmssyc@gmail.com&gt;

Wed, Feb 11, 2026 at 1:00 PM

To: "Miller, Talibah" &lt;talibah.miller@pc.ola.org&gt;, "Williams, Charmaine" &lt;charmaine.williams@pc.ola.org&gt;

Cc: "Doolittle, Justin" &lt;justin.doolittle@pc.ola.org&gt;, "Verma, Valentino" &lt;valentino.verma@pc.ola.org&gt;

Hello Charmaine and Talibah,

Clarification: I met with a woman named Charmaine. I am not her "constituent". I have shared facts, logic and evidence, including my notarized affidavit, and directed her to the publicly-available collection of official legal "germ"-related confessions and failures from 53 Canadian institutions and 170+ more institutions in ~40 other countries, plus the W.H.O., along with screenshots of publicly-available forged "court" documents from Peterborough and admissions from the establishment that bank "loans" are not loans at all, etc.

During our meeting, Charmaine continued making claims about the supposed "virus" and "spread", and she **insisted** that she would put me in touch with someone at the Ministry of Health to "get answers". Yet you have failed to rebut my affidavit or to provide a shred of valid scientific evidence of any contagious illness, any alleged virus, any validated virus-related test, the purported pandemic, or that there is/was even a distinct illness called "covid-19". You have failed to even put me in touch with anyone to address my *challenge* (not a *request for assistance*). Charmaine back-peddles instead.

Charmaine **invited** me to send her the fake/forged intake court endorsement, warrant for arrest and endorsement of warrant, aka *evidence of crime/trespass*, that were used against me by Peterborough "authorities" and **insisted** that she would get in touch with the Attorney General's office about them, and that she wants everyone to be treated as well as any politician or judge. But now, **after I provided her with personal/private information**, she back-peddles.

Charmaine **conceded** that bank "loans" are not actually loans and she defended deceptive business practices aka fraud... which leads to the financial "enslavement" of millions of people through fake debt. I wonder how many people have died via suicide, heart attacks, MAID, etc. stemming from this fake debt.

"Your office" hasn't provided me any "assistance" to begin with, so the "additional assistance" on which you now back-peddle would be impossible.

The "clown show" continues.

Section 229(c), CCC:

**Culpable homicide is MURDER:**

Culpable homicide is murder if a person, for an unlawful object, does anything that they know is likely to cause death, and by doing so causes the death of a human being, even if they desire to effect their object without causing death or bodily harm to any human being.

Not agreeing to contract, All rights reserved, Without recourse  
Christine

[Quoted text hidden]