



Christine, an unincorporated woman <cmssyc@gmail.com>

FOI / privacy request: records re crime reports, November 9, 2024

Christine, an unincorporated woman <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Mon, Nov 11, 2024 at 1:43 PM

To: info@hrps.on.ca, secretary@haltonpoliceboard.ca, Amanda.Shantz@haltonpolice.ca

November 11, 2024

To:
the man or woman who acts as MFIPPA Coordinator
Halton Regional Police Service
Attention: Freedom of Information Unit
2485 North Service Road West
Oakville, ON L6M 3H8

via email to: secretary@haltonpoliceboard.ca, info@hrps.on.ca, Amanda.Shantz@haltonpolice.ca

Greetings,

I require access to general and personal records, per the Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act.

Privacy Invasion:

The Access / Correction Request form posted on your website still inappropriately and ironically prompts everyone to provide their date of birth and other personal information that is not necessary for everyone to provide, even though I pointed this out almost 3 years ago.

Description of Records

- 1) the audio-video recording(s) (in a common format, i.e. mp4) of Christine Massey (me) reporting crimes (murder, terrorism, fraud, constructive fraud, lack of due process, hoax, etc.) to Darren Prinsen on November 9, 2024 at 3800 Constable Henshaw Boulevard, Burlington;
- 2) all other recordings (in a common format, i.e. mp4) made at the station between 11am and 2:00 pm, November 9, 2024 that captured my voice or image;
- 3) all publicly-accessible recordings (in a common format, i.e. mp4) made at the station between 11 am and 2:00 pm, November 9, 2024;
- 4) searchable pdf copies of all text records pertaining to the reports made that day by my colleague and I and our group's 'attendance' at the station, including but not limited to police occurrence report(s), memorandums, letters, email, police notes, etc.;
- 5) searchable pdf copies of all text records including but not limited to police occurrence report(s) and all other publicly-accessible records pertaining to Incident #23-148348 - Sat, May 13/23 - Halton HQ.

Contact Information and Conveyance:Via email to cmssyc@gmail.com - please do not ship anything to me.**Fee:**

The \$5 fee is in the mail.

Privacy:

I do not agree or assent to this order or any of my "personal information" being shared with 3rd parties including those that provide FOI services, without my explicit written consent.

I am not providing a phone number or address in order to protect my privacy, since they are not required in order to carry out the search or to convey the response to me.

Fee

The \$5 fee is in the mail.

11/11/24, 1:45 PM

Gmail - FOI / privacy request: records re crime reports, November 9, 2024

Best wishes. All rights reserved.
Christine Massey



Christine, an unincorporated woman <cmssyc@gmail.com>

FOI / privacy request: records re crime reports, November 9, 2024

Christine, an unincorporated woman <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Mon, Nov 11, 2024 at 1:49 PM

To: info@hrps.on.ca, secretary@haltonpoliceboard.ca, Amanda.Shantz@haltonpolice.ca

p.s.

Please also include:

6) Any records that list the people who were on duty at the station between 11 am and 2 pm, November 9, 2024.

[Quoted text hidden]



Christine, an unincorporated woman <cmssyc@gmail.com>

FOI / privacy request: records re crime reports, November 9, 2024

Shantz, Amanda <Amanda.Shantz@haltonpolice.ca>

Mon, Nov 11, 2024 at 1:55 PM

To: "Christine, an unincorporated woman" <cmssyc@gmail.com>, info <info@haltonpolice.ca>, "secretary@haltonpoliceboard.ca" <secretary@haltonpoliceboard.ca>

Good afternoon Christine,

If you are requesting general records (i.e., records that do not contain any personal information), you can apply online using the "Lawyers, Insurance Companies, and General Records Requests" form; your date of birth is not required.

If you wish to access records that contain your personal information, we do require your date of birth to assist us in locating records about you specifically, and not another individual with the same name as you. You can use the "Individuals Requesting Personal Records" form.

Both forms can be located using [this link](#).

Kind regards,

Amanda



Amanda Shantz (she/her) #9498

Information Privacy Officer & FOI Coordinator | FOI Unit

Halton Regional Police Service

Phone: 905 825 4710

[Quoted text hidden]

FOI / privacy request: records re crime reports, November 9, 2024

Christine, an unincorporated woman <cmssyc@gmail.com>
To: "Shantz, Amanda" <Amanda.Shantz@haltonpolice.ca>

Mon, Nov 11, 2024 at 2:09 PM

Hi Amanda,

The request is for both personal and general records. I was born, according to my mother, on [REDACTED]

You have the requests and the \$5 fee is in the mail.

Best regards,
Christine

[Quoted text hidden]



Christine, an unincorporated woman <cmssyc@gmail.com>

FOI / privacy request: records re crime reports, November 9, 2024

Christine, an unincorporated woman <cmssyc@gmail.com>
To: "Shantz, Amanda" <Amanda.Shantz@haltonpolice.ca>

Mon, Nov 11, 2024 at 3:06 PM

p.s.

Please be sure that for #4 you include the affidavit that I gave to Darren Prinsen and tried to read to him.

On Mon, Nov 11, 2024 at 2:09 PM Christine, an unincorporated woman <cmssyc@gmail.com> wrote:

>
> Hi Amanda,
>
> The request is for both personal and general records. I was born, according to my mother, on January 11, 1968.
>
> You have the requests and the \$5 fee is in the mail.
>
> Best regards,
> Christine

> On Mon, Nov 11, 2024 at 1:55 PM Shantz, Amanda <Amanda.Shantz@haltonpolice.ca> wrote:

>>
>> Good afternoon Christine,
>>
>>
>> If you are requesting general records (i.e., records that do not contain any personal information), you can apply online using the "Lawyers, Insurance Companies, and General Records Requests" form; your date of birth is not required.
>>
>>
>> If you wish to access records that contain your personal information, we do require your date of birth to assist us in locating records about you specifically, and not another individual with the same name as you. You can use the "Individuals Requesting Personal Records" form.
>>
>>
>> Both forms can be located using this link.

>> Kind regards,
>>
>>
>> Amanda

[Quoted text hidden]



Christine, an unincorporated woman <cmssyc@gmail.com>

FOI / privacy request: records re crime reports, November 9, 2024

Christine, an unincorporated woman <cmssyc@gmail.com>
To: "Shantz, Amanda" <Amanda.Shantz@haltonpolice.ca>

Mon, Nov 11, 2024 at 4:06 PM

p.s.
Please also include the legislation that prohibits people who act as Detective (for a municipal police service) from investigating or charging other police officers, if it exists. Assuming that it exists and is already publicly available, please cite it for me (with the specific section/subsection(s)) so that I may find and access it.

On Mon, Nov 11, 2024 at 3:06 PM Christine, an unincorporated woman
[Quoted text hidden]



Christine, an unincorporated woman <cmssyc@gmail.com>

FOI / privacy request: records re crime reports, November 9, 2024

Shantz, Amanda <Amanda.Shantz@haltonpolice.ca>
To: "Christine, an unincorporated woman" <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Tue, Nov 12, 2024 at 7:25 AM

Good morning Christine,

I am not accepting your emails as an application for police records. Please include all the information you're seeking access to on one of the accepted methods: hard copy application, or website application.

If neither of these methods meet your needs, you are welcome to draft a letter and sign by ink, and return to HRPS.

Sincerely,

Amanda Shantz (she/her) #9498
Information Privacy Officer & FOI Coordinator | FOI Unit
Halton Regional Police Service
Phone: 905 825 4710

[Quoted text hidden]



Christine, an unincorporated woman <cmssyc@gmail.com>

FOI / privacy request: records re crime reports, November 9, 2024

Christine, an unincorporated woman <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Tue, Nov 12, 2024 at 9:30 AM

To: "Shantz, Amanda" <Amanda.Shantz@haltonpolice.ca>, stephen.tanner@haltonpolice.ca

Hi Amanda and Stephen,

Would you kindly cite the provision of the legislation that allows you to reject a request for police records based on the fact that the text was filed in the easiest and fastest way possible - email, which also provides the sender a record of having sent it?

Can you please also explain why your online form for lawyers, law firms, insurance companies, media, academic and **general requesters/General Records Requests** makes it mandatory for people to provide nonexistent business information plus unnecessary personal information and directs people to "*Please upload a PDF of the signed authorization by your insured or client*"? That is not an option for people such as I seeking general records.

Can you please also explain why, under the heading for "Law Firms or Insurance Companies", the webpage states "*If you wish to apply via mail, your request for records can be sent on your company letterhead rather than the application form*", but you do not list a letter as an option for people?

Also I would like to point out that that heading does not mention general records requests even though that section is hyperlinked to the section for general records requests higher up the page. Many people will not bother reading the section because the heading gives the impression that it is only for law firms and insurance companies.

In your "Other Ways to Apply" section you tell people "*If you are unable to apply online or would like to submit a correction request, mail the [application form](#)*" - which again ironically asks for invasive personal information "including date of birth" - which many people will not realize they do not have to provide for a general request. Is this on purpose or an error?

Could you please also explain why the webpage states "*Cheques only by mail*". On what authority will you refuse my legal tender of a note (cash) that is current under section 7.1 of the Currency Act? Unlike a cheque, cash is defined as 'legal tender' in section 8 (1) of the Currency Act. To my knowledge it is illegal for you to refuse it.

As a courtesy I am letting you know that these emails might be published.

Best regards,
Christine

[Quoted text hidden]



Christine, an unincorporated woman <cmssyc@gmail.com>

FOI / privacy request: records re crime reports, November 9, 2024

Shantz, Amanda <Amanda.Shantz@haltonpolice.ca>
To: "Christine, an unincorporated woman" <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Tue, Nov 12, 2024 at 9:57 AM

Christine,

Section 17 of MFIPPA outlines how to make a request; it clearly states that, at the time of making the request, you must pay the fee prescribed by the regulations for that purpose. Your email does not have the \$5 application fee included.

I have already explained the request for your date of birth. If you choose not to include it, that's fine; we may be unable to properly identify the records you are requesting, so access to records may be denied.

At a quick glance at your emails, it appears as though you are requesting both general records and personal records. To be in compliance with the Act, please ensure you submit two separate requests.



Amanda Shantz (she/her) #9498

Information Privacy Officer & FOI Coordinator | FOI Unit

Halton Regional Police Service

Phone: 905 825 4710

[Quoted text hidden]



Christine, an unincorporated woman <cmssyc@gmail.com>

FOI / privacy request: records re crime reports, November 9, 2024

Christine, an unincorporated woman <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Tue, Nov 12, 2024 at 7:14 PM

To: "Shantz, Amanda" <Amanda.Shantz@haltonpolice.ca>, stephen.tanner@haltonpolice.ca

Hi Amanda and Stephen,

I and dozens of other FOI coordinators have not interpreted the legislation to mean that payment must be received at the exact same moment as the text of the request. Such an interpretation leads to unnecessary inconvenience and wasted paper and serves no logical purpose.

Also, if I were to follow Amanda's direction by sending a hardcopy of the text along with a cheque, payment would still not be received at the same time as the text. A cheque is not a payment but an order, made to a bank, to pay (see the Bills of Exchange Act). You would have to deposit the cheque in order to be paid, and even then the bank would only "pay" with its own private electronic credits (bookkeeping entries acknowledging the bank's liability to the institution).

So logically, Amanda's arguments do not add up.

I already provided the day I was born and hence do not comprehend Amanda's latest comment about date of birth.

Regarding Amanda's advice to send 2 separate requests in order to be "compliant" with the legislation, there seems to be some confusion. I am not a party to the legislation and hence cannot be noncompliant. Also, I am not aware of a provision in the legislation that states that a request cannot cover both general and personal records. If there is one, could you please cite it?

For part 4 of my request, please be sure to include the documents regarding lack of due process and criminal code violations in relation to the "covid-19" hoax that my colleague and I provided to Darren.

My other questions remain:

Can you please also explain why your online form for lawyers, law firms, insurance companies, media, academic and **general requesters/General Records Requests** makes it mandatory for people to provide nonexistent business information plus unnecessary personal information and directs people to "*Please upload a PDF of the signed authorization by your insured or client?*" That is not an option for people such as I seeking general records.

Can you please also explain why, under the heading for "Law Firms or Insurance Companies", the webpage states "*If you wish to apply via mail, your request for records can be sent on your company letterhead rather than the application form*", but you do not list a letter as an option for people?

In your "Other Ways to Apply" section you tell people "*If you are unable to apply online or would like to submit a correction request, mail the **application form***" - which again ironically asks for invasive personal information "including date of birth" - which many people will not realize they do not have to provide for a general request. Is this on purpose or an error?

Could you please also explain why the webpage states "*Cheques only by mail*". On what authority will you refuse my legal tender of a note (cash) that is current under section 7.1 of the Currency Act? Unlike a cheque, cash is defined as 'legal tender' in section 8 (1) of the Currency Act. To my knowledge it is illegal for you to refuse it.

Best regards,
Christine

[Quoted text hidden]

FOI / privacy request: records re crime reports, November 9, 2024

Christine, an unincorporated woman <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Wed, Jan 15, 2025 at 12:35 PM

To: "Shantz, Amanda" <Amanda.Shantz@haltonpolice.ca>, stephen.tanner@haltonpolice.ca

Bcc: [REDACTED]

Kindly send me the records.

Christine

[Quoted text hidden]



Christine, an unincorporated woman <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Halton Police FOI 24-1980

Keates, Julie <Julie.Keates@haltonpolice.ca>
To: "cmssyc@gmail.com" <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Fri, Jan 17, 2025 at 2:57 PM

Good Day,

Your request for access to records has been processed; record(s) are available for pick-up by appointment ONLY. **Please schedule your appointment online.**

Location: Halton Regional Police Headquarters [2485 North Service Road W, Oakville, ON L6M 3H8](#) (just north of the QEW, off Bronte Rd)

Forms of acceptable **identification**: driver's license, passport, identity card or any other valid Government issued photo identification

If you have any **questions** about the reports you have received, please **e-mail me** as we are often unable to answer any questions in person at pick up.

Have a great day!

Julie Keates

Freedom of Information Analyst

Halton Regional Police Service

[2485 North Service Road W Oakville, ON L6M 3H8](#)

Halton Police FOI 24-1980

Christine, an unincorporated woman <cmssyc@gmail.com>
To: "Keates, Julie" <Julie.Keates@haltonpolice.ca>, stephen.tanner@haltonpolice.ca
Bcc: [REDACTED]

Fri, Jan 17, 2025 at 4:27 PM

Hi Julie and Stephen,

Thank you for Julie's message. I do have a few questions.

Why was I not provided a decision notice and access to the records (or notice of an extension) within 30 days per s. 19 of MFIPPA?

Two months have passed since I made the order and I still don't know whether I am being given access to all of the ordered records. Are all of the ordered records being released? If not, do the records that are being released include any personal information?

Can you please cite for me the provision in the legislation that specifies that the records be made available by "pick-up by appointment ONLY"? I've never heard of such a provision. Also, a provision that requires one to present "Government issued photo identification"?

Also, the provision that requires one to contract with a company in Cyprus called "SimplyBook.me Ltd" and review and adhere to all of their policies - which would take hours to read and assess - in order to obtain the records that one has already ordered and paid for?

I am pretty confident that there is no such provision, but if there is then I will also need the full legal names and contact information, including address for service, of the people behind "SimplyBook.me Ltd", before I can make a decision as to whether I'm willing to contract with them. Also, I will need a written, signed and dated undertaking from one of you agreeing to take on the liability for any problems that "SimplyBook.me Ltd" might cause me. I cannot lawfully be forced to take on a liability in order to access the ordered records.

Also note that I specified the following condition in my FOI order dated November 11, 2024: "**Contact Information and Conveyance: Via email to cmssyc@gmail.com**". So now is really not the time to insist that I pick up records in person. It could be argued that you are in breach of contract by refusing to email me the records.

Also, twice I asked some questions of Stephen and Amanda Shantz back in November (in the course of communicating about my FOI order) but I guess they didn't have any answers because they ignored these questions. So I am pasting them below for you to address now:

Can you please also explain why your online form for lawyers, law firms, insurance companies, media, academic and **general requesters/General Records Requests** makes it mandatory for people to provide nonexistent business information plus unnecessary personal information and directs people to "*Please upload a PDF of the signed authorization by your insured or client*"? That is not an option for people such as I seeking general records.

Can you please also explain why, under the heading for "Law Firms or Insurance Companies", the webpage states "*If you wish to apply via mail, your request for records can be sent on your company letterhead rather than the application form*", but you do not list a letter as an option for people?

In your "Other Ways to Apply" section you tell people "*If you are unable to apply online or would like to submit a correction request, mail the **application form***" - which again ironically asks for invasive personal information "including date of birth" - which many people will not realize they do not have to provide for a general request. Is this on purpose or an error?

Could you please also explain why the webpage states "*Cheques only by mail*". On what authority will you refuse my legal tender of a note (cash) that is current under section 7.1 of the Currency Act? Unlike a cheque, cash is defined as 'legal tender' in section 8 (1) of the Currency Act. To my knowledge it is illegal for you to refuse it.

Have a great day!



Christine, an unincorporated woman <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Halton Police FOI 24-1980

Keates, Julie <Julie.Keates@haltonpolice.ca>
To: "Christine, an unincorporated woman" <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Mon, Jan 20, 2025 at 10:12 AM

Good Morning Ms Massey,

We received your written request with application fee, via Canada Post on December 20, 2024.

The record contains personal information.

Therefore, before I am able to release the records to you I need to confirm your identification.

You are welcome to come to our Head Quarters location at [2485 North Service Road West, Oakville](#).

Our hours of operation are 8:00am to 4:00pm.

If you are unable to attend our Head Quarters location, I can complete a live WebEx call with you.

We can confirm your identification virtually during this call.

Following the call I can release the records to you via a secure email.

If you would like me to arrange a WebEx call with you, please provide me your availability Monday – Friday, during the hours of 8:00am-4:00pm.

Kind Regards,

Julie Keates

Freedom of Information Analyst

Halton Regional Police Service

P: 905-825-4747 X 4930

[Quoted text hidden]



Christine, an unincorporated woman <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Halton Police FOI 24-1980

Christine, an unincorporated woman <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Wed, Jan 22, 2025 at 5:21 PM

To: "Keates, Julie" <Julie.Keates@haltonpolice.ca>, stephen.tanner@haltonpolice.ca

Hi Julie and Stephen,

Thank you for Julie's latest message. I have new questions.

I still do not know whether all of the ordered records are being released. Are they? If not, kindly let me know which ones are and which ones are not being released, along with the grounds for withholding any records, per MFIPPA.

Do the records that are being released contain any personal information about anyone aside from me?

Once again, I specified the following condition in my FOI order dated November 11, 2024: **"Contact Information and Conveyance: Via email to cmssyc@gmail.com".** I also stated that **"I do not agree or assent to this order or any of my "personal information " being shared with 3rd parties including those that provide FOI services, without my explicit written consent"**.

So now is not the time to insist that I pick up records in person or complete a live "WedEx" call or show "valid Government issued photo identification". It could be argued that you are in breach of contract by refusing to email me the records.

Also note that according to the [Webex site](#): **"By using Webex, you accept the Terms of Service, Privacy Statement, and Notices & Disclaimers."** So acceptance of your offer for me to do a Webex call with you would require me to not only disclose personal details on this privately-owned, for-profit platform but to spend many hours reading, deciphering and attempting to adhere to:

- a 7-page "universal cloud agreement", attached,
- a 12-page privacy statement, attached, and possibly require legal advice,
- potentially dozens of notices and disclaimers.

I would probably also require legal advice. Were you aware of all this when Julie suggested a Webex call?

Also, before I could possibly make a decision as to whether I'd be willing to contract with this Webex entity that I have never heard of before, I would require the full legal name(s) and contact information, including address(es) for service, of the people behind "Webex" and I would need to know whether either of you willing to provide a written, signed and dated undertaking agreeing to take on the liability for any problems that might arise from use of Webex.

I would also require full details regarding the "secure email" that Julie proposed using following a Webex call. No doubt use of this "secure email" would entail contracting with yet another unknown 3rd party and having personal information pass through their hands as well.

I do not trust "Webex" or any so-called "secure email" any more than I do gmail. Can you provide valid evidence that these services are actually more and not less secure than gmail?

Regarding "Forms of acceptable identification" - would you kindly answer my earlier question: Can you please cite for me the provision in the legislation that requires one to present "Government issued photo identification"? And can you cite valid evidence that such a thing exists?

Do you acknowledge that driver's licenses, passports, identity cards or any other so-called "valid Government issued photo identifications" identify legal entities, not living men and women (beneficiaries)? I would not want to travel hours only to discover that I am forced to engage in fraud by pretending that said "identification" actually identifies me or that I have a government or that **CANADA** and/or **PROVINCE OF ONTARIO** are anything more than for-profit corporations registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission or that the enacting clause of the 1867 constitution was not revoked in the 1893 Statute Law Revision Act .

Of course all of this would be simplified if you would simply send me the records to this email address, as already agreed to.

My other questions remain:

Can you please also explain why your online form for lawyers, law firms, insurance companies, media, academic and **general requesters/General Records Requests** makes it mandatory for people to provide nonexistent business information plus unnecessary personal information and directs people to "*Please upload a PDF of the signed authorization by your insured or client*"? That is not an option for people such as I seeking general records.

Can you please also explain why, under the heading for "Law Firms or Insurance Companies", the webpage states "*If you wish to apply via mail, your request for records can be sent on your company letterhead rather than the application form*", but you do not list a letter as an option for people?

In your "Other Ways to Apply" section you tell people "*If you are unable to apply online or would like to submit a correction request, mail the **application form***" - which again ironically asks for invasive personal information "including date of birth" - which many people will not realize they do not have to provide for a general request. Is this on purpose or an error?

Could you please also explain why the webpage states "*Cheques only by mail*". On what authority will you refuse my legal tender of a note (cash) that is current under section 7.1 of the Currency Act? Unlike a cheque, cash is defined as 'legal tender' in section 8 (1) of the Currency Act. To my knowledge it is illegal for you to refuse it.

Have a great day!

Christine, agent and beneficiary of the trust name/estate Massey, Christine [REDACTED] and all derivatives, all rights reserved



Christine, an unincorporated woman <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Halton Police FOI 24-1980

Keates, Julie <Julie.Keates@haltonpolice.ca>
To: "cmssyc@gmail.com" <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Thu, Jan 23, 2025 at 8:34 AM

Good Morning Christine,

Please find attached a copy of my decision letter in response to your Access Request received on December 20, 2024.

As per my previous emails, the records contain personal information.

Therefore, before I am able to release the records to you I need to confirm your identification.

If you choose to appeal my decision the directions for appeal are contained within the decision letter.

Regards,

Julie Keates

Freedom of Information Analyst

Halton Regional Police Service

2485 North Service Road W Oakville, ON L6M 3H8

P: 905-825-4747 X 4930

E: julie.keates@haltonpolice.ca

W: www.haltonpolice.ca

[Quoted text hidden]

*** Ironport scanned this email for malicious content ***

*** Stripped attachments will be replaced with a text file beginning with ATT ***

*** IMPORTANT: Do not open attachments from unrecognized senders ***

 **FOI 24-1980 Decision Letter.pdf**
269K

HALTON REGIONAL POLICE SERVICE

One Vision One Mission One Team



January 17, 2025

Christine Massey

RE: Our File: 2024/1980
Occurrence: 22-352077, 22-351978, 22-380736, 24-317151
Requested record: not specified

Dear Christine Massey:

I am responding to your request for access to information pursuant to the Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act, received by this office on December 20, 2024.

As per your letter you have requested "Release personal records about me."

A local computer search was completed using your name and date of birth; four (4) responsive occurrences were identified (see above list).

Section 17 of the Act states that an access request shall provide sufficient detail to enable the institution to identify the record requested by the applicant. Since you did not identify the type of record to which you seek access, I have considered the police occurrence report for release; I trust this is sufficient.

Following a local computer search of occurrence 22-351978, it has been determined that the dispatched officer cleared this OUTS call, as NO REPORT on November 28, 2022; therefore, no report exists.

A decision has been made to grant partial access to the remaining three (3) responsive police reports. The record contains information that is withheld from disclosure under MFIPPA; therefore, we have severed the excepted information in order to disclose to you the remaining information in the record.

Sections 8(1)(e) & (l) were applied to the record because it contains police & statistical codes, as well as patrol zone information; 14(3)(b), and 38(a)&(b) were applied to third party information. Attached is the sections applied, for your review.

Amanda Shantz, FOI Coordinator, is responsible for this decision. Questions regarding this file should be directed to the undersigned.

You may request the Information and Privacy Commissioner (IPC) to review this decision by filing an appeal online at www.ipc.on.ca. Alternatively, appeals can still be mailed with a cheque or money order payable to "Minister of Finance" to: Registrar - IPC, 1400-2 Bloor St. E, Toronto, ON, M4W 1A8. The appeal fee is **\$10.00**; **you have 30 days from the date of this letter to file the appeal.**

Sincerely,

Julie Keates
Freedom of Information Analyst
Halton Regional Police Service
P 905-825-4747 x 4930
E julie.keates@haltonpolice.ca

Applied Sections of MFIPPA

Section	Brief Explanation
<p>8(1)(e)</p> <p>A head may refuse to disclose a record if the disclosure could reasonably be expected to endanger the life or physical safety of a law enforcement officer or any other person.</p>	<p>Used to exempt the 10-codes, patrol zone information and/or statistical codes from the records</p>
<p>8(1)(l)</p> <p>A head may refuse to disclose a record if the disclosure could reasonably be expected to facilitate the commission of an unlawful act or hamper the control of crime.</p>	<p>Used to exempt the 10-codes, patrol zone information and/or statistical codes from the records</p>
<p>14(3)(b)</p> <p>A disclosure of personal information is presumed to constitute an unjustified invasion of personal privacy if the personal information was compiled and is identifiable as part of an investigation into a possible violation of law, except to the extent that disclosure is necessary to prosecute the violation or to continue the investigation.</p>	<p>The records were created as part of an investigation into the possible violation of the Criminal Code of Canada</p>
<p>38(a)</p> <p>A head may refuse to disclose to the individual to whom the information relates personal information if section 6, 7, 8, 8.1, 8.2, 9, 9.1, 10, 11, 12, 13 or 15 would apply to the disclosure of that personal information.</p>	<p>Even though the information relates to you, because sections 8(1)(e) and 8(1)(l) are being applied, the information is not being released</p>
<p>38(b)</p> <p>A head may refuse to disclose to the individual to whom the information relates personal information if the disclosure would constitute an unjustified invasion of another individual's personal privacy.</p>	<p>Your personal information is intertwined with the personal information of another individual in a fashion that cannot be released without breaching the personal privacy of the other individual</p>



Christine, an unincorporated woman <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Halton Police FOI 24-1980

Christine, an unincorporated woman <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Thu, Jan 23, 2025 at 10:54 AM

To: "Keates, Julie" <Julie.Keates@haltonpolice.ca>, stephen.tanner@haltonpolice.ca

Good morning Julie and Stephen,

Thank you, however the attached letter from Julie does not address my actual order, which was very detailed and precise.

Attached is a pdf containing all of the email communications regarding this order since I first sent the text of the order on November 11, 2024. You can find the specifics of the order on pages 1, 3, 6 and 7. I am pasting them below for your convenience.

(November 11, 2024)

Description of Records

1) the audio-video recording(s) (in a common format, i.e. mp4) of Christine Massey (me) reporting crimes (murder, terrorism, fraud, constructive fraud, lack of due process, hoax, etc.) to Darren Prinsen on November 9, 2024 at 3800 Constable Henshaw Boulevard, Burlington;

2) all other recordings (in a common format, i.e. mp4) made at the station between 11am and 2:00 pm, November 9, 2024 that captured my voice or image;

3) all publicly-accessible recordings (in a common format, i.e. mp4) made at the station between 11 am and 2:00 pm, November 9, 2024;

4) searchable pdf copies of all text records pertaining to the reports made that day by my colleague and I and our group's 'attendance' at the station, including but not limited to police occurrence report(s), memorandums, letters, email, police notes, etc.;

5) searchable pdf copies of all text records including but not limited to police occurrence report(s) and all other publicly-accessible records pertaining to Incident #23-148348 - Sat, May 13/23 - Halton HQ.

Contact Information and Conveyance:

Via email to cmssyc@gmail.com - please do not ship anything to me.

Fee:

The \$5 fee is in the mail.

Privacy:

I do not agree or assent to this order or any of my "personal information" being shared with 3rd parties including those that provide FOI services, without my explicit written consent.

I am not providing a phone number or address in order to protect my privacy, since they are not required in order to carry out the search or to convey the response to me.

p.s.

Please also include:

6) Any records that list the people who were on duty at the station between 11 am and 2 pm, November 9, 2024.

p.s.

Please be sure that for #4 you include the affidavit that I gave to Darren Prinsen and tried to read to him.

p.s.

Please also include the legislation that prohibits people who act as Detective (for a municipal police service) from investigating or charging other police officers, if it exists. Assuming that it exists and is already publicly available, please cite it for me (with the specific section/subsection(s)) so that I may find and access it.

Regarding "government issued identification" - kindly explain how viewing a drivers licence or some other piece of plastic would confirm that I am the same woman who visited 3800 Constable Henshaw Boulevard, Burlington on November 9, 2024 and filed a crime report and was recorded there. I did not provide "government issued identification" on that day. I was there, I showed my face, I spoke, I provided personal information verbally.

Kindly answer the questions in my last email.

Have a great day!

[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]



HRPS FOI PACKAGE as of 2025 01 22 - re 2024 11 09 visit.pdf
1605K



Christine, an unincorporated woman <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Halton Police FOI 24-1980

Christine, an unincorporated woman <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Thu, Jan 23, 2025 at 11:35 AM

To: "Keates, Julie" <Julie.Keates@haltonpolice.ca>, stephen.tanner@haltonpolice.ca, "Shantz, Amanda" <Amanda.Shantz@haltonpolice.ca>

Amanda, kindly see below and attached.

Julie's decision letter dated January 17, 2025 - which she only conveyed to me for the first time this morning, January 23, 2025, after I repeatedly asked why I had not been provided a proper response yet - has nothing to do with my actual order.

Her letter states that you are responsible for this decision. Kindly provide a decision letter that actually addresses my order. Also kindly answer my questions that you will find on pages 17, 18 and 23, attached.

[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]

**HRPS FOI PACKAGE as of 2025 01 22 - re 2024 11 09 visit v2.pdf**

1991K



Christine, an unincorporated woman <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Halton Police FOI 24-1980

Shantz, Amanda <Amanda.Shantz@haltonpolice.ca>
To: "Christine, an unincorporated woman" <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Thu, Jan 23, 2025 at 12:12 PM

Good afternoon Christine,

As I indicated to you on November 12, 2024, all of the information to which you seek access must be included with your application fee.

Your application fee was received on December 20, 2024, indicating you wanted personal records about you. Since you did not comply with section 17 of the Act, this office opted to provide you with the police reports involving yourself.

You have been granted partial access to the records, as indicated in the decision letter provided to you. We will release the records to you once we've confirmed your identity.

Your options are:

- Arrange a time to confirm your ID and collect your records in person by setting up an appointment as outlined below
- Set up a WebEx virtual meeting to confirm your ID; records will be sent via secure email (Axon)
- Appeal to the IPC

At this time, the FOI Unit has fulfilled your request; the onus is on you to confirm your identity prior to the release of the records. Other than to confirm your identity, any future communication with the HRPS FOI Unit can be made by way of the Information and Privacy Commissioner of Ontario.

Regards,



Amanda Shantz (she/her) #9498

Information Privacy Officer & FOI Coordinator | FOI Unit

Halton Regional Police Service

Phone: 905 825 4710

[Quoted text hidden]



Christine, an unincorporated woman <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Halton Police FOI 24-1980

Christine, an unincorporated woman <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Thu, Jan 23, 2025 at 2:26 PM

To: "Shantz, Amanda" <Amanda.Shantz@haltonpolice.ca>, "Keates, Julie" <Julie.Keates@haltonpolice.ca>, stephen.tanner@haltonpolice.ca

Amanda, Stephen and Julie,

As shown on page 11 of the pdf that I sent you, in my email to Amanda dated November 12, 2024 at 7:14 pm, I already addressed her assertion that the text and payment for an order must be provided at the exact same moment. I will paste the relevant parts of that email below.

"I and dozens of other FOI coordinators have not interpreted the legislation to mean that payment must be received at the exact same moment as the text of the request. Such an interpretation leads to unnecessary inconvenience and wasted paper and serves no logical purpose.

Also, if I were to follow Amanda's direction by sending a hardcopy of the text along with a cheque, payment would still not be received at the same time as the text. A cheque is not a payment but an order, made to a bank, to pay (see the Bills of Exchange Act). You would have to deposit the cheque in order to be paid, and even then the bank would only "pay" with its own private electronic credits (bookkeeping entries acknowledging the bank's liability to the institution).

So logically, Amanda's arguments do not add up."

Amanda never bothered to respond to that email at all. She went silent for the next 2 months and then when I followed up again it was Julie who started responding to me.

My \$5 fee was put in the email along with a handwritten note way back in November. If it really only arrived at HRPS on December 20, 2024, the long delay was obviously because of the mail strike.

Regardless, my communications have been clear all along. At no time did I cancel my original order. And in my communications with Julie during this last week I repeatedly quoted sentences from my original order that were not included on my hand-written note. So clearly the hand-written note was not a new order or a replacement of my original order. I also referenced the fact that 2 months had already passed since I first sent the order.

Fri, Jan 17, 2025 at 4:27 PM:

"Two months have passed since I made the order...

...Also note that I specified the following condition in my FOI order dated November 11, 2024: "**Contact Information and Conveyance: Via email to cmssyc@gmail.com**". So now is really not the time to insist that I pick up records in person. It could be argued that you are in breach of contract by refusing to email me the records....

... Also, twice I asked some questions of Stephen and Amanda Shantz back in November (in the course of communicating about my FOI order)..."

Wed, Jan 22, 2025 at 5:21 PM:

"Once again, I specified the following condition in my FOI order dated November 11, 2024: "**Contact Information and Conveyance: Via email to cmssyc@gmail.com**". I also stated that "**I do not agree or assent to this order or any of my "personal information " being shared with 3rd parties including those that provide FOI services, without my explicit written consent**".

So now is not the time to insist that I pick up records in person or complete a live "WedEx" call or show "valid Government

issued photo identification". It could be argued that you are in breach of contract by refusing to email me the records."

Contrary to Amanda's assertion, "the FOI Unit" has clearly not fulfilled my order. No reasonable man or woman reviewing these communications would draw the conclusion that I had abandoned my original order - which was detailed and specific and included records that would not contain any personal information about me (or about the legal fiction named after me) - and replaced it with "Release personal records about me." That is not a credible position for you to take.

This, especially combined with the continual avoidance of my questions and the repeated requirements that I contract with unknown for-profit entities and take on liabilities and transmit personal information via their platforms, after spending hours and hours reading their terms and conditions and policies, simply in order to access records that I have the right to access, reflects very poorly on HRPS and frankly it gives the impression that a cover-up of the covid hoax crime report is being attempted.

Amanda's admission that "**this office opted to provide you with the police reports involving yourself**" adds to that impression. She has basically admitted that she knew perfectly well which records I was and was not seeking and that she intentionally chose to frustrate my efforts and tried to trick me into providing personal information and jumping through hoops only to find out later that she was providing a set of records completely different from what I wanted.

"opt
verb

to make a choice, especially of one thing or possibility instead of others"

<https://dictionary.cambridge.org/dictionary/english/opt>

Amanda now also contracts Julie's statement made on Mon, Jan 20, 2025 at 10:12 AM (below and in the pdf that I sent you) that "**You are welcome to come to our Head Quarters location at 2485 North Service Road West, Oakville. Our hours of operation are 8:00am to 4:00pm.**"

And she implies that I now have an obligation to communicate via the IPC (after paying them a \$10 fee, lol), which is ridiculous.

Kindly process my order as it was re-stated in my 10:54 am email (see page 22 of the pdf that I sent you) and also include the hand-written note that I sent along with my payment.

Also answer my questions and let me know who is willing to take on the liability for any contracts that I am coerced into making with unwanted 3rd-party "service" providers.

I advised Amanda back on November 12, 2024 that "As a courtesy I am letting you know that these emails might be published." They will definitely be published.

[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]

Halton Police FOI 24-1980

Christine, an unincorporated woman <cmssyc@gmail.com>

To: "Shantz, Amanda" <Amanda.Shantz@haltonpolice.ca>, "Keates, Julie" <Julie.Keates@haltonpolice.ca>, stephen.tanner@haltonpolice.ca

Bcc: [REDACTED]

Tue, Feb 4, 2025 at 9:53 AM

Send me the records.

[Quoted text hidden]

Halton Police FOI 24-1980

Christine, an unincorporated woman <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Fri, Feb 7, 2025 at 3:45 PM

To: "Shantz, Amanda" <Amanda.Shantz@haltonpolice.ca>, "Keates, Julie" <Julie.Keates@haltonpolice.ca>, stephen.tanner@haltonpolice.ca

Bcc: [REDACTED]


[Send me the records. Otherwise, you are in breach of contract.](#)

[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]

picking up MFIPPA records 24-1980 at 10am 




Christine, an unincorporated woman <cmssyc@gmail.com>
to Julie, info 

Mon, Mar 10, 7:00 AM



Hello,

I will be visiting HQ at 10am to pick up the records for 24-1980.

Christine, acting as agent for and beneficiary
of the trust name/estate Massey, Christine 
and all derivatives, all rights reserved,



I acknowledge receipt of records under MFIPPA

I have not opened the envelope yet, I do not know whether I was given all of the ordered records.

Christie
Name | please print

24-1980
FOI Request #

Christie, agent & beneficiary of the estate name Tracy Massey
Signature

0
Fee
Receipt #

MAR 10 2025

Date

30579
Employee #

all rights reserved, without recourse, without prejudice.

Any missing records are to be sent to cm554@govt.ca on the original contact

Halton Police FOI 24-1980

Christine, an unincorporated woman <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Thu, Mar 13, 2025 at 2:52 PM

To: "Shantz, Amanda" <Amanda.Shantz@haltonpolice.ca>, "Keates, Julie" <Julie.Keates@haltonpolice.ca>, stephen.tanner@haltonpolice.ca

Bcc: Jack Baran <jackbaran@yahoo.ca>, Pam Deol <pamd3631@gmail.com>

Good day Amanda, Julie, Stephen,

Aside from Darren Prinsen's "occurrence report" 202400317151, the following ordered records were not provided when I attended HQ on Monday, therefore they are to be conveyed to me **immediately** at this email address per the original contract and as noted on the acknowledgement that I autographed on Monday at the request of staff (copy attached):

1) the audio-video recording(s) (in a common format, i.e. mp4) of Christine Massey (me) reporting crimes (murder, terrorism, fraud, constructive fraud, lack of due process, hoax, etc.) to Darren Prinsen on November 9, 2024 at 3800 Constable Henshaw Boulevard, Burlington (including of me allegedly "screaming" in the soft interview room as claimed by Darren in his "occurrence report");

2) all other recordings (in a common format, i.e. mp4) made at the station between 11 am and 2:00 pm, November 9, 2024 that captured my voice or image;

3) all publicly-accessible recordings (in a common format, i.e. mp4) made at the station between 11 am and 2:00 pm, November 9, 2024;

4) searchable pdf copies of all text records pertaining to the reports made that day by my colleague and I and our group's attendance at the station, including but not limited to police occurrence report(s), memorandums, letters, email, police notes, etc. (including my notarized affidavit, attached, of which I provided a copy and tried to read to Darren who was very aggravated and close-minded over the the possibility that "covid-19" was based entirely on pseudoscience and criminal fraud, and including all reports resulting from investigation(s) into the reported crimes);

5) searchable pdf copies of all text records including but not limited to police occurrence report(s) and all other publicly-accessible records pertaining to Incident #23-148348 - Sat, May 13/23 - Halton HQ.

6) Any records that list the people who were on duty at the station between 11 am and 2 pm, November 9, 2024.

7) the legislation that prohibits people who act as Detective (for a municipal police service) from investigating or charging other police officers, if it exists. Assuming that it exists and is already publicly available, cite it for me (with the specific section/subsection(s)) so that I may find and access it.

Christine, acting as agent and beneficiary
of the trust name/estate Massey, Christine [REDACTED]
and all derivatives, all rights reserved
E. & O.E.

[Quoted text hidden]

2 attachments