



Records required under FOIA: "SARS-COV-2" purification

Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Mon, Mar 7, 2022 at 9:31 PM

To: jon.p.simpson@met.police.uk, trevor.struthers@met.police.uk, p238599@met.police.uk, p259888@met.police.uk

March 7, 2022

To:

Mayor's Office for Policing And Crime
Information Team
2nd Floor, City Hall
The Queen's Walk
More London
London SE1 2AA

Sent via email to: foi@mopac.london.gov.uk, jon.p.simpson@met.police.uk, trevor.struthers@met.police.uk, p238599@met.police.uk, p259888@met.police.uk

Dear Freedom of Information Clerk:

I require copies of records, as per the Freedom of Information Act 2000.

Description of Requested Records:

All studies / reports in the possession, custody or control of:

Jon P Simpson who acts as Superintendent, Assistant to Metropolitan Police Commissioner, Cressida Dick;
Trevor Struthers who acts as Detective Inspector, Criminal Investigation Department, Hammersmith Police Station;
Oliver Mallett who acts as Detective Sergeant, Criminal Investigation Department, Hammersmith Police Station;
Irvine who acts as Police Constable, Criminal Investigation Department, Hammersmith Police Station

or anyone else acting for "Mayor's Office for Policing and Crime", that describe anyone on Earth finding and **purifying** the **alleged COVID-19 virus, aka "SARS-COV-2"**, directly from the bodily fluids of any diseased human where the bodily fluids were not first combined with any other source of **genetic** material (i.e. a human or animal cell line; fetal bovine serum).

Please note that I do **not** require and do **not** want studies/reports where researchers failed to **purify** an alleged "virus" from a sick human's bodily fluid and instead:

- cultured an unpurified substance (i.e. bodily fluid) in a malnourished cell line, added toxic drugs, watched for cytopathic effects and called that "virus isolation", and/or
- performed an unreliable amplification test (i.e. a PCR test), that can only detect sequences and **not** "viruses", in the soup of genetic material extracted from a patient's bodily fluid or from monkey/cow/human cell culture supernatant, and/or
- fabricated an in silico (computer) sequence ("genome") from millions of sequences unreliably detected in the soup of total RNA extracted from a patient's bodily fluid or from a monkey/cow/human cell culture, and/or
- produced electron microscopy images of unpurified particles in a monkey/cow/human cell culture.

Clarification of Request

For further clarity, please note I am already aware that according to virus theory a "virus" requires host cells in order to replicate, and that a strict application of Koch's Postulates would not be possible even if theoretical "viruses" existed. I do **not** require or want records describing the **replication** of an alleged "virus" without host cells, or fulfillment of Koch's Postulates, or a suspected "virus" floating in a vacuum, or private patient records.

I simply require records that describe **purification** (**separation** from everything else in the patient sample, as per standard laboratory practices for the purification of other very small things).

I require any study/report matching the above details, **authored by anyone, anywhere**, since the alleged discovery of this alleged "virus".

In the interest of transparency and in accordance with the purposes of the FOIA, if any records match the above description of requested records and are currently available to the public elsewhere, please provide enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each one with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, where the public may access it). Please provide URLs where possible.

Should it prove impossible to provide any such study (since none exist), then please provide or cite a study (maximum 3 studies) that, according to the men listed above, proves the existence of "SARS-COV-2". (This must include proof that the alleged particle/"virus" actually exists and was sequenced and characterized, proof that "it" actually circulated and replicated in many humans around the world, and proof that "it" actually caused the spread of the disease in question via natural modes of exposure - animal experiments will be accepted).

Format:

■
Pdf documents sent to me via email; I do not wish for anything to be shipped to me.

Contact Information:

Last name: Massey

First name: Christine

Address: ■ Peterborough, Ontario, Canada ■

Phone: ■ (email communication only.)

Email: cmssyc@gmail.com

Email communication only.

Thank you in advance and best wishes,
Christine Massey, M.Sc.



Records required under FOIA: "SARS-COV-2" purification

Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>
To: foi@mopac.london.gov.uk

Mon, Mar 7, 2022 at 9:34 PM

March 7, 2022

To:
Mayor's Office for Policing And Crime
Information Team
2nd Floor, City Hall
The Queen's Walk
More London
London SE1 2AA

Sent via email to: foi@mopac.london.gov.uk, jon.p.simpson@met.police.uk, trevor.struthers@met.police.uk, p238599@met.police.uk, p259888@met.police.uk

Dear Freedom of Information Clerk:

I require copies of records, as per the Freedom of Information Act 2000.

Description of Requested Records:

All studies / reports in the possession, custody or control of:

Jon P Simpson who acts as Superintendent, Assistant to Metropolitan Police Commissioner, Cressida Dick;
Trevor Struthers who acts as Detective Inspector, Criminal Investigation Department, Hammersmith Police Station;
Oliver Mallett who acts as Detective Sergeant, Criminal Investigation Department, Hammersmith Police Station;
Irvine who acts as Police Constable, Criminal Investigation Department, Hammersmith Police Station

or anyone else acting for "Mayor's Office for Policing and Crime", that describe anyone on Earth finding and **purifying** the **alleged COVID-19 virus, aka "SARS-COV-2"**, directly from the bodily fluids of any diseased human where the bodily fluids were not first combined with any other source of **genetic** material (i.e. a human or animal cell line; fetal bovine serum).

Please note that I do **not** require and do **not** want studies/reports where researchers failed to **purify** an alleged "virus" from a sick human's bodily fluid and instead:

- cultured an unpurified substance (i.e. bodily fluid) in a malnourished cell line, added toxic drugs, watched for cytopathic effects and called that "virus isolation", and/or
- performed an unreliable amplification test (i.e. a PCR test), that can only detect sequences and **not** "viruses", in the soup of genetic material extracted from a patient's bodily fluid or from monkey/cow/human cell culture supernatant, and/or
- fabricated an in silico (computer) sequence ("genome") from millions of sequences unreliably detected in the soup of total RNA extracted from a patient's bodily fluid or from a monkey/cow/human cell culture, and/or
- produced electron microscopy images of unpurified particles in a monkey/cow/human cell culture.

Clarification of Request

For further clarity, please note I am already aware that according to virus theory a "virus" requires host cells in order to replicate, and that a strict application of Koch's Postulates would not be possible even if theoretical "viruses" existed. I do **not** require or want records describing the **replication** of an alleged "virus" without host cells, or fulfillment of Koch's Postulates, or a suspected "virus" floating in a vacuum, or private patient records.

I simply require records that describe **purification** (**separation** from everything else in the patient sample, as per standard laboratory practices for the purification of other very small things).

I require any study/report matching the above details, **authored by anyone, anywhere**, since the alleged discovery of this alleged "virus".

In the interest of transparency and in accordance with the purposes of the FOIA, if any records match the above description of requested records and are currently available to the public elsewhere, please provide enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each one with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, where the public may access it). Please provide URLs where possible.

Should it prove impossible to provide any such study (since none exist), then please provide or cite a study (maximum 3 studies) that, according to the men listed above, proves the existence of "SARS-COV-2". (This must include proof that the alleged particle/"virus" actually exists and was sequenced and characterized, proof that "it" actually circulated and replicated in many humans around the world, and proof that "it" actually caused the spread of the disease in question via natural modes of exposure - animal experiments will be accepted).

Format:

■
Pdf documents sent to me via email; I do not wish for anything to be shipped to me.

Contact Information:

Last name: Massey

First name: Christine

Address: ■ Peterborough, Ontario, Canada ■

Phone: ■ (email communication only.)

Email: cmssyc@gmail.com

Email communication only.

Thank you in advance and best wishes,
Christine Massey, M.Sc.



Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Records required under FOIA: "SARS-COV-2" purification

MOPAC FOI <FOI@mopac.london.gov.uk>
To: Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Mon, Mar 7, 2022 at 9:32 PM

Thank you for contacting the Mayor's Office for Policing and Crime.

We are dealing with your enquiry and aim to provide a response within 20 working days.

Yours sincerely,

Information Team | Mayor's Office for Policing and Crime | **Telephone** 0207 983 6532 | **Email**
Enquiries@mopac.london.gov | **Website** www.london.gov.uk | **Address** City Hall ,The Queens Walk, London SE1 2AA

NHS health information and advice about coronavirus can be found at [nhs.uk/coronavirus](https://www.nhs.uk/coronavirus)

The GLA stands against racism. Black Lives Matter.

GREATER LONDON AUTHORITY NOTICE:

The information in this email may contain confidential or privileged materials. For more information see <https://www.london.gov.uk/about-us/email-notice/>



Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Automatic reply: Records required under FOIA: "SARS-COV-2" purification

MOPAC FOI <FOI@mopac.london.gov.uk>
To: Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Mon, Mar 7, 2022 at 9:32 PM

Thank you for contacting us about the work of the Mayor's Office for Policing And Crime (MOPAC). We aim to respond to written communication as quickly as possible and within 20 working days.

However, please note that we may not reply to correspondence we consider:

- Is addressed to another party and where MOPAC has been copied in for information only.
- Contains comments that do not require a response.
- Includes unsolicited job applications or CVs.
- Is trying to sell or market a product.
- To be vexatious or contains abusive or discriminatory language.

In addition, we will not respond where we specifically state that we are unable to enter into correspondence (for example, when inviting responses to consultation documents).

If you have written to request the release of information under the Freedom of Information Act (FoIA) or Environmental Information Regulations (EIR), please take this message as confirmation your request has been received. We will aim to provide a response within the statutory 20 working day deadline.

If you wish to report a crime to the Metropolitan Police Service (MPS), please do so here:

<https://www.met.police.uk/ro/report/ocr/af/how-to-report-a-crime/>

You may find the answer to your question here: <https://www.london.gov.uk/what-we-do/mayors-office-policing-and-crime-mopac/about-mayors-office-policing-and-crime-mopac/contact-us#acc-i-54355>

Further information about MOPAC can be found here:

<https://www.london.gov.uk/what-we-do/mayors-office-policing-and-crime-mopac>

When responding or considering whether to respond to your message, we will process your personal information in accordance with our privacy notice, which can be accessed here:

<https://www.london.gov.uk/about-us/governance-and-spending/privacy-policies/mopac-privacy-notice>

Depending on the nature of your message, we may need to share your information with third parties, including the MPS where relevant. If you do not want your information to be shared with third parties, you may have the right to object to this. Please contact us at Enquiries@mopac.london.gov.uk if you would like to discuss this further.

Thank you again for writing.

MOPAC

NHS health information and advice about coronavirus can be found at [nhs.uk/coronavirus](https://www.nhs.uk/coronavirus)

The GLA stands against racism. Black Lives Matter.

GREATER LONDON AUTHORITY NOTICE:

The information in this email may contain confidential or privileged materials. For more information see <https://www.london.gov.uk/about-us/email-notice/>



Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

FOI response 445 Christine Massey "SARS-COV-2" purification 08.03.2022

MOPAC FOI <FOI@mopac.london.gov.uk>
To: "cmssyc@gmail.com" <cmssyc@gmail.com>
Cc: MOPAC FOI <FOI@mopac.london.gov.uk>

Tue, Mar 8, 2022 at 9:40 AM

Dear Christine

Thank you for your Freedom of Information (FOI) request of 8 March to the Mayor's Office for Policing And Crime (MOPAC). I confirm that your request has been handled under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 and that MOPAC does not hold the requested information.

The Metropolitan Police Service (MPS) may be able to assist you and you can send your request to them, here:

<https://www.met.police.uk/rqo/request/ri/request-information/rip/request-information-police/>

or using this email address: MPSPDataOffice@met.police.uk

If you are unhappy with the response to your Freedom of Information requests, please see the MOPAC website on what the next steps are at:

<https://www.london.gov.uk/what-we-do/mayors-office-policing-and-crime-mopac/governance-and-decision-making/freedom-information>

Yours sincerely

Julia Harries| Correspondence and FOI Lead | Private Office| Mayor's Office for Policing And Crime | **Email** Julia.Harries@mopac.london.gov.uk | **Website** www.london.gov.uk/policing | **Address** 169 Union Street, Southwark, London SE1 0LL

From: Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>
Sent: 08 March 2022 02:34
To: MOPAC FOI <FOI@mopac.london.gov.uk>
Subject: Records required under FOIA: "SARS-COV-2" purification

March 7, 2022

To:

Mayor's Office for Policing And Crime



Records required under FOIA from Met Police: "SARS-COV-2" purification

Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Tue, Mar 8, 2022 at 10:02 AM

To: jon.p.simpson@met.police.uk, trevor.struthers@met.police.uk, p238599@met.police.uk, p259888@met.police.uk, foi@mpa.gov.uk, MPSDataOffice@met.police.uk

March 8, 2022

To:
Metropolitan Police Authority
10 Dean Farrar Street
London SW1H 0NY
Email: foi@mpa.gov.uk
Telephone: 020 7202 0186
Fax: 020 7202 0246
Minicom: 020 7202 0173

Sent via email to: jon.p.simpson@met.police.uk, trevor.struthers@met.police.uk, p238599@met.police.uk, p259888@met.police.uk, foi@mpa.gov.uk, MPSDataOffice@met.police.uk

Dear Freedom of Information Clerk:

I require copies of records, as per the Freedom of Information Act 2000. **The the Mayor's Office for Policing And Crime confirmed for me this morning that they do not have the records I seek (see attached), and recommended that I contact Metropolitan Police Service at MPSDataOffice@met.police.uk.**

Description of Requested Records:

All studies / reports in the possession, custody or control of:

Jon P Simpson who acts as Superintendent, Assistant to Metropolitan Police Commissioner, Cressida Dick;
Trevor Struthers who acts as Detective Inspector, Criminal Investigation Department, Hammersmith Police Station;
Oliver Mallett who acts as Detective Sergeant, Criminal Investigation Department, Hammersmith Police Station;
Irvine who acts as Police Constable, Criminal Investigation Department, Hammersmith Police Station

or anyone else acting for **Metropolitan Police Service, particularly anyone who was involved in investigating crime reference number 6029679/21**, that describe anyone on Earth finding and **purifying** the **alleged COVID-19 virus, aka "SARS-COV-2"**, directly from the bodily fluids of any diseased human where the bodily fluids were not first combined with any other source of **genetic** material (i.e. a human or animal cell line; fetal bovine serum).

Please note that I do **not** require and do **not** want studies/reports where researchers failed to **purify** an alleged "virus" from a sick human's bodily fluid and instead:

- cultured an unpurified substance (i.e. bodily fluid) in a malnourished cell line, added toxic drugs, watched for cytopathic effects and called that "virus isolation", and/or
- performed an unreliable amplification test (i.e. a PCR test), that can only detect sequences and **not** "viruses", in the soup of genetic material extracted from a patient's bodily fluid or from monkey/cow/human cell culture supernatant, and/or
- fabricated an in silico (computer) sequence ("genome") from millions of sequences unreliably detected in the soup of total RNA extracted from a patient's bodily fluid or from a monkey/cow/human cell culture, and/or
- produced electron microscopy images of unpurified particles in a monkey/cow/human cell culture.

Clarification of Request

For further clarity, please note I am already aware that according to virus theory a "virus" requires host cells in order to replicate, and that a strict application of Koch's Postulates would not be possible even if theoretical "viruses" existed. I do **not** require or want records describing the **replication** of an alleged "virus" without host cells, or fulfillment of Koch's Postulates, or a suspected "virus" floating in a vacuum, or private patient records.

I simply require records that describe **purification (separation)** from everything else in the patient sample, as per standard laboratory practices for the purification of other very small things).

I require any study/report matching the above details, **authored by anyone, anywhere**, since the alleged discovery of this alleged "virus".

In the interest of transparency and in accordance with the purposes of the FOIA, if any records match the above description of requested records and are currently available to the public elsewhere, please provide enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each one with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, where the public may access it). Please provide URLs where possible.

Should it prove impossible to provide any such study (since none exist), then please provide or cite a study (maximum 3 studies) that, according to the wo/men listed above, proves the existence of "SARS-COV-2". (This must include proof that the alleged particle/"virus" actually exists and was sequenced and characterized, proof that "it" actually circulated and replicated in many humans, and proof that "it" actually caused the spread of the disease in question via natural modes of exposure - animal experiments will be accepted as proof of causation).

Format:

Pdf documents sent to me via email; I do not wish for anything to be shipped to me.

Contact Information:

Last name: Massey

First name: Christine

Address: [REDACTED] Peterborough, Ontario, Canada [REDACTED]

Phone: [REDACTED] (email communication only.)

Email: cmssyc@gmail.com

Email communication only.

Thank you in advance and best wishes,
Christine Massey, M.Sc.



Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

***** Automated Response *****

MPSDDataOffice@met.police.uk <MPSDDataOffice@met.police.uk>
To: cmssyc@gmail.com

Tue, Mar 8, 2022 at 10:01 AM

Thank you for your email to the Metropolitan Police Service Data Office.

Please note the Data Office Triage Team operate Monday to Friday only, this department is closed during bank holidays.

A response will follow in due course.

We do receive a very high volume of requests and although we endeavour to respond to all of them as quickly as possible, there may, at times, be a few days turnaround time, should that be the case with regards to responding to your email, we do respectfully ask you to bear with us while we clear all the emails we received prior to yours.

The following applications are currently processed through the Data Office Triage Team mailbox:

- Right of Access Requests (Formerly Subject Access Requests)

- Freedom of Information Act Requests

- Association of British Insurers/NPCC Memorandum of Understanding requests

- Right to Erasure and Right to Rectification Requests

- Requests under the Environmental Information Regulations 2000 (EIR)

Please visit www.met.police.uk for enquiries relating to:

- I. Road Traffic Collisions,
- II. Sarah's Law – Registered sex offender data
- III. Clare's Law – Domestic violence offender data
- IV. Disclosures for family court proceedings
- V. Publication Scheme and statistics

NOTICE - This email and any attachments are solely for the intended recipient and may be confidential. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender and delete it from your system. Do not use, copy or disclose the information contained in this email or in any attachment without the permission of the sender. Metropolitan Police Service (MPS) communication systems are monitored to the extent permitted by law and any email and/or attachments may be read by monitoring staff. Only specified personnel are authorised to conclude binding agreements on behalf of the MPS by email and no responsibility is accepted for unauthorised agreements reached with other personnel. While reasonable precautions have been taken to ensure no viruses are present in this email, its security and that of any attachments cannot be guaranteed.

Records required under FOIA from Met Police: "SARS-COV-2" purification

Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com>
To: cmssyc@gmail.com

Tue, Mar 8, 2022 at 10:00 AM



Address not found

Your message wasn't delivered to **foi@mpa.gov.uk** because the domain mpa.gov.uk couldn't be found. Check for typos or unnecessary spaces and try again.

The response was:

DNS Error: 24805102 DNS type 'mx' lookup of mpa.gov.uk responded with code NOERROR 24805102 DNS type 'mx' lookup of mpa.gov.uk had no relevant answers. 24805102 DNS type 'aaaa' lookup of mpa.gov.uk responded with code NOERROR 24805102 DNS type 'aaaa' lookup of mpa.gov.uk had no relevant answers. 24805102 DNS type 'a' lookup of mpa.gov.uk responded with code NOERROR 24805102 DNS type 'a' lookup of mpa.gov.uk had no relevant answers.

Final-Recipient: rfc822; foi@mpa.gov.uk

Action: failed

Status: 4.0.0

Diagnostic-Code: smtp; DNS Error: 24805102 DNS type 'mx' lookup of mpa.gov.uk responded with code NOERROR 24805102 DNS type 'mx' lookup of mpa.gov.uk had no relevant answers.

24805102 DNS type 'aaaa' lookup of mpa.gov.uk responded with code NOERROR

24805102 DNS type 'aaaa' lookup of mpa.gov.uk had no relevant answers.

24805102 DNS type 'a' lookup of mpa.gov.uk responded with code NOERROR

24805102 DNS type 'a' lookup of mpa.gov.uk had no relevant answers.

Last-Attempt-Date: Tue, 08 Mar 2022 07:00:46 -0800 (PST)

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

To: jon.p.simpson@met.police.uk, trevor.struthers@met.police.uk, p238599@met.police.uk, p259888@met.police.uk, foi@mpa.gov.uk, MPSPDataOffice@met.police.uk

Cc:

Bcc:

Date: Tue, 8 Mar 2022 10:02:24 -0500

Subject: Records required under FOIA from Met Police: "SARS-COV-2" purification

----- Message truncated -----



Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

RE: Records required under FOIA from Met Police: "SARS-COV-2" purification - 01/FOI/22/023689

MPSDDataOffice@met.police.uk <MPSDDataOffice@met.police.uk>
To: cmssyc@gmail.com

Wed, Mar 9, 2022 at 8:30 AM

Good Morning,

Please see the attached in respect of your recent information request.

Your case reference number for this request is : **01/FOI/22/023689**

Kind regards,

Data Office Triage Team

Information Rights Unit

Data Office

Metropolitan Police Service

MPSDDataOffice@met.police.uk

Information Rights Unit, PO Box 313, Sidcup, DA15 0HH



From: Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Sent: 08 March 2022 15:02

To: Simpson Jon P - MO6 Public Order Planning <Jon.P.Simpson@met.police.uk>; Struthers Trevor R - AW-CU <Trevor.R.Struthers@met.police.uk>; Mallett Olly - AW-CU <Oliver.Mallett@met.police.uk>; Irvine Elliott - AW-CU <Elliott.J.Irvine@met.police.uk>; foi@mpa.gov.uk; Data Office Mailbox - Triage Team <MPSDDataOffice@met.police.uk>

Subject: Records required under FOIA from Met Police: "SARS-COV-2" purification

Official



Ms Christine Massey, M.Sc
[REDACTED]
Peterborough
Ontario
[REDACTED]
Canada

Information Rights Unit
PO Box 313
Sidcup
DA15 0HH

Email: foi@met.police.uk

www.met.police.uk

Your ref:
Our ref: 01/FOI/22/023689

09/03/2022

Dear Ms Massey, M.Sc

Freedom of Information Request Reference No: 01/FOI/22/023689

I write in connection with your request for information which was received by the Metropolitan Police Service (MPS) on 08/03/2022. I note you seek access to the following information:

Dear Freedom of Information Clerk:

I require copies of records, as per the Freedom of Information Act 2000. The the Mayor's Office for Policing And Crime confirmed for me this morning that they do not have the records I seek (see attached), and recommended that I contact Metropolitan Police Service at MPSDataOffice@met.police.uk.

Description of Requested Records:

All studies / reports in the possession, custody or control of:

Jon P Simpson who acts as Superintendent, Assistant to Metropolitan Police Commissioner, Cressida Dick; Trevor Struthers who acts as Detective Inspector, Criminal Investigation Department, Hammersmith Police Station; Oliver Mallett who acts as Detective Sergeant, Criminal Investigation Department, Hammersmith Police Station; Irvine who acts as Police Constable, Criminal Investigation Department, Hammersmith Police Station

or anyone else acting for Metropolitan Police Service, particularly anyone who was involved in investigating crime reference number 6029679/21, that describe anyone on Earth finding and purifying the alleged COVID-19 virus, aka "SARS-COV-2", directly from the bodily fluids of any diseased human where the bodily fluids were not first combined with any other source of

Official

Official

genetic material (i.e. a human or animal cell line; fetal bovine serum).

Please note that I do not require and do not want studies/reports where researchers failed to purify an alleged "virus" from a sick human's bodily fluid and instead:

- cultured an unpurified substance (i.e. bodily fluid) in a malnourished cell line, added toxic drugs, watched for cytopathic effects and called that "virus isolation", and/or*
- performed an unreliable amplification test (i.e. a PCR test), that can only detect sequences and not "viruses", in the soup of genetic material extracted from a patient's bodily fluid or from monkey/cow/human cell culture supernatant, and/or*
- fabricated an in silico (computer) sequence ("genome") from millions of sequences unreliably detected in the soup of total RNA extracted from a patient's bodily fluid or from a monkey/cow/human cell culture, and/or*
- produced electron microscopy images of unpurified particles in a monkey/cow/human cell culture.*

Clarification of Request

For further clarity, please note I am already aware that according to virus theory a "virus" requires host cells in order to replicate, and that a strict application of Koch's Postulates would not be possible even if theoretical "viruses" existed. I do not require or want records describing the replication of an alleged "virus" without host cells, or fulfillment of Koch's Postulates, or a suspected "virus" floating in a vacuum, or private patient records.

I simply require records that describe purification (separation from everything else in the patient sample, as per standard laboratory practices for the purification of other very small things).

I require any study/report matching the above details, authored by anyone, anywhere, since the alleged discovery of this alleged "virus".

In the interest of transparency and in accordance with the purposes of the FOIA, if any records match the above description of requested records and are currently available to the public elsewhere, please provide enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each one with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, where the public may access it). Please provide URLs where possible.

Should it prove impossible to provide any such study (since none exist), then please provide or cite a study (maximum 3 studies) that, according to the wo/men listed above, proves the existence of "SARS-COV-2". (This must include proof that the alleged particle/"virus" actually exists and was sequenced and characterized, proof that "it" actually circulated and replicated in many humans, and proof that "it" actually caused the spread of the disease in question via natural modes of exposure - animal experiments will be accepted as proof of causation).

Format:

Pdf documents sent to me via email; I do not wish for anything to be shipped to me.

Official

Official

Your request will now be considered in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (the Act). We aim to provide a response within the statutory timescale of 20 working days as defined by the Act. The deadline date for your request is 05/04/2022

During the first part of the national lockdown last year our staff were prevented access to our offices to conduct essential work that could not be carried out remotely, this caused a large amount of backlog and even though lockdown restrictions have eased in more recent times we are still affected by the various restrictions that were in place during the majority of 2020. We do now have a limited number of staff able to attend our offices and it will take time for us to resume our normal service.

Please be reassured that the vast majority of work is carried out unhindered, but due to current circumstances some delays may be unavoidable. The MPS take your rights under data protection regulations very seriously and every effort will be made to ensure a response is provided within statutory deadlines. We apologise for any inconvenience and will endeavour to process your request as quickly as is practicable.

If you have any further enquiries concerning this matter, please contact us at foi@met.police.uk, quoting the reference number above. Should your enquiry relate to the logging or allocations process we will be able to assist you directly and where your enquiry relates to other matters (such as the status of the request) we will be able to pass on a message and/or advise you of the relevant contact details.

Yours sincerely

Data Office Triage Team

Official

COMPLAINT RIGHTS

Are you unhappy with how your request has been handled or do you think the decision is incorrect?

You have the right to require the Metropolitan Police Service (MPS) to review their decision.

Prior to lodging a formal complaint you are welcome to discuss the response with the case officer who dealt with your request.

Complaint

If you are dissatisfied with the handling procedures or the decision of the MPS made under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (the Act) regarding access to information you can lodge a complaint with the MPS to have the decision reviewed.

Complaints should be made in writing, within forty (40) working days from the date of the refusal notice, and addressed to:

FOI Complaint
Information Rights Unit
PO Box 313
Sidcup
DA15 0HH
foi@met.police.uk

In all possible circumstances the MPS will aim to respond to your complaint within 20 working days.

The Information Commissioner

After lodging a complaint with the MPS if you are still dissatisfied with the decision you may make application to the Information Commissioner for a decision on whether the request for information has been dealt with in accordance with the requirements of the Act.

For information on how to make application to the Information Commissioner please visit their website at www.ico.org.uk. Alternatively, write to or phone:

Information Commissioner's Office
Wycliffe House
Water Lane
Wilmslow
Cheshire
SK9 5AF
Phone: 0303 123 1113



Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

FOI Response Ref: 01FOI/22/023689

Suzanne.Mason@met.police.uk <Suzanne.Mason@met.police.uk>
To: cmssyc@gmail.com

Sat, Apr 9, 2022 at 3:17 AM

Dear Ms Massey,

Please find attached response to your request for information, with our sincere apologies for the delay and any inconvenience caused.

Thank you for your patience.

Kind regards,

Suzanne Mason, MIB

Information Manager

Metropolitan Police Service

Email: Suzanne.Mason@met.police.uk

Core Location: New Peel House, Hendon



NOTICE - This email and any attachments are solely for the intended recipient and may be confidential. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender and delete it from your system. Do not use, copy or disclose the information contained in this email or in any attachment without the permission of the sender. Metropolitan Police Service (MPS) communication systems are monitored to the extent permitted by law and any email and/or attachments may be read by monitoring staff. Only specified personnel are authorised to conclude binding agreements on behalf of the MPS by email and no responsibility is accepted for unauthorised agreements reached with other personnel. While reasonable precautions have been taken to ensure no viruses are present in this email, its security and that of any attachments cannot be guaranteed.

 **MASSEY - S.12.docx**
86K

Official



Information Rights Unit
PO Box 313
Sidcup
DA15 0HH
United Kingdom

Web: www.met.police.uk
Email: MPSDDataOffice@met.police.uk

Our Ref: 01/FOI/22/023689

Date: 09 April 2022

Dear Ms Massey,

FREEDOM OF INFORMATION REQUEST REFERENCE NO: 01FOI/22/023689

Thank you for your request for information which was received by the Metropolitan Police Service (MPS) on 08 March 2022, seeking access to the following information:

"I require copies of records, as per the Freedom of Information Act 2000. The the Mayor's Office for Policing And Crime confirmed for me this morning that they do not have the records I seek (see attached), and recommended that I contact Metropolitan Police Service at MPSDDataOffice@met.police.uk.

Description of Requested Records:

All studies / reports in the possession, custody or control of:

Jon P Simpson who acts as Superintendent, Assistant to Metropolitan Police Commissioner, Cressida Dick; Trevor Struthers who acts as Detective Inspector, Criminal Investigation Department, Hammersmith Police Station; Oliver Mallett who acts as Detective Sergeant, Criminal Investigation Department, Hammersmith Police Station; Irvine who acts as Police Constable, Criminal Investigation Department, Hammersmith Police Station

or anyone else acting for Metropolitan Police Service, particularly anyone who was involved in investigating crime reference number 6029679/21, that describe anyone on Earth finding and purifying the alleged COVID-19 virus, aka "SARS-COV-2", directly from the bodily fluids of any diseased human where the bodily fluids were not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. a human or animal cell line; fetal bovine serum).

Official

Please note that I do not require and do not want studies/reports where researchers failed to purify an alleged "virus" from a sick human's bodily fluid and instead:

- cultured an unpurified substance (i.e. bodily fluid) in a malnourished cell line, added toxic drugs, watched for cytopathic effects and called that "virus isolation", and/or*
- performed an unreliable amplification test (i.e. a PCR test), that can only detect sequences and not "viruses", in the soup of genetic material extracted from a patient's bodily fluid or from monkey/cow/human cell culture supernatant, and/or*
- fabricated an in silico (computer) sequence ("genome") from millions of sequences unreliably detected in the soup of total RNA extracted from a patient's bodily fluid or from a monkey/cow/human cell culture, and/or*
- produced electron microscopy images of unpurified particles in a monkey/cow/human cell culture.*

Clarification of Request

For further clarity, please note I am already aware that according to virus theory a "virus" requires host cells in order to replicate, and that a strict application of Koch's Postulates would not be possible even if theoretical "viruses" existed. I do not require or want records describing the replication of an alleged "virus" without host cells, or fulfillment of Koch's Postulates, or a suspected "virus" floating in a vacuum, or private patient records.

I simply require records that describe purification (separation from everything else in the patient sample, as per standard laboratory practices for the purification of other very small things).

I require any study/report matching the above details, authored by anyone, anywhere, since the alleged discovery of this alleged "virus".

In the interest of transparency and in accordance with the purposes of the FOIA, if any records match the above description of requested records and are currently available to the public elsewhere, please provide enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each one with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, where the public may access it). Please provide URLs where possible.

Should it prove impossible to provide any such study (since none exist), then please provide or cite a study (maximum 3 studies) that, according to the wo/men listed above, proves the existence of "SARS-COV-2". (This must include proof that the alleged particle/"virus" actually exists and was sequenced and characterized, proof that "it" actually circulated and replicated in many humans, and proof that "it" actually caused the spread of the disease in question via natural modes of exposure - animal experiments will be accepted as proof of causation)".

Your request for information has been considered by the MPS in accordance with the right to access public information provided by the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (the Act).

When a request for information is made under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (the Act), a public authority must inform you, when permitted, whether the information requested is held. It must then communicate that information to you. If a public

Official

authority decides that it cannot comply with all or part of a request, it must cite the appropriate section or exemption of the Act and provide you with an explanation.

DECISION

This letter is to inform you that it will not be possible to respond to your request within the cost threshold. This response therefore serves as a Refusal Notice under Section 17 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (the Act). Please see the legal annex for further information on the exemptions applied in respect of your request.

Please also note that when one part of a request is refused because the cost of completing that part of the request would exceed the appropriate limit, this results in the refusal of the request in its entirety. This approach is in line with the guidance of the Information Commissioner (the Freedom of Information Act Ombudsman).

REASON FOR DECISION

Section 12(2) - Exemption where cost of compliance exceeds appropriate limit

The Section 12 Exemption applies because any attempt to fulfil this request would require an extensive manual search to ascertain whether any of the information requested is held. Bearing in mind that Covid-19 has been ongoing since February 2020, this will be an enormous task, involving several teams across the MPS, which we believe will exceed the appropriate limit.

The appropriate limit has been specified in regulations and for agencies outside central Government, this is set at £450.00. This represents the estimated cost of one person spending 18 hours [at a rate of £25 per hour] in determining whether the MPS holds the information and then locating, retrieving and extracting the information.

Under Section 12 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000, public authorities are not required to comply with a request for information if the cost of compliance exceeds the appropriate limit.

DUTY TO ADVISE & ASSIST

Under Section 16 of the Act, there is a duty to advise and assist applicants in making requests for information, however, due to the nature of the request and the extensive searches that will be necessary to identify whether the information requested is held, I can find no meaningful way to advise you to refine the request that will bring it within the appropriate limit.

I can advise, however, that the MPS has released an update of its assessment of allegations relating to the UK Covid-19 vaccine programme and I have included a link below for your reference:

<https://news.met.police.uk/news/update-assessment-of-allegations-relating-to-the-uk-covid-19-vaccine-programme-442854>

If the link provided above is not active upon receipt of this email please copy and paste it into your search engine browser.

Official

This concludes your request for information and I would like to thank you for your interest in the MPS.

Should you have any further enquiries concerning this matter, please contact me via the above email address, quoting the reference number listed.

Kind regards,

Suzanne Mason
Information Manager

Official

LEGAL ANNEX

Section 17(5) of the Act provides:

(5) A public authority which, in relation to any request for information, is relying on a claim that section 12 or 14 applies must, within the time for complying with section 1(1), give the applicant a notice stating that fact.

Section 12(2) of the Act provides:

Exemption where cost of compliance exceeds appropriate limit.

(2) Subsection (1) does not exempt the public authority from its obligation to comply with paragraph (a) of section 1(1) unless the estimated cost of complying with that paragraph alone would exceed the appropriate limit.

Section 16 of the Act provides:

(1) It shall be the duty of a public authority to provide advice and assistance, so far as it would be reasonable to expect the authority to do so, to persons who propose to make, or have made, requests for information to it.

(2) Any public authority which, in relation to the provision of advice or assistance in any case, conforms with the code of practice under section 45 is to be taken to comply with the duty imposed by subsection (1) in relation to that case.

Official

COMPLAINT RIGHTS

Are you unhappy with how your request has been handled or do you think the decision is incorrect?

You have the right to require the Metropolitan Police Service (MPS) to review their decision.

Prior to lodging a formal complaint you are welcome to discuss the response with the case officer who dealt with your request.

Complaint

If you are dissatisfied with the handling procedures or the decision of the MPS made under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (the Act) regarding access to information you can lodge a complaint with the MPS to have the decision reviewed.

Complaints should be made in writing, within forty (40) working days from the date of the refusal notice, and addressed to:

FOI Complaint
Information Rights Unit
PO Box 313
Sidcup
DA15 0HH
foi@met.police.uk

In all possible circumstances the MPS will aim to respond to your complaint within 20 working days.

The Information Commissioner

After lodging a complaint with the MPS if you are still dissatisfied with the decision you may make application to the Information Commissioner for a decision on whether the request for information has been dealt with in accordance with the requirements of the Act.

For information on how to make application to the Information Commissioner please visit their website at www.ico.org.uk. Alternatively, write to or phone:

Information Commissioner's Office
Wycliffe House
Water Lane
Wilmslow
Cheshire
SK9 5AF
Phone: 0303 123 1113



Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

response to 01/FOI/22/023689 is 2.5 months late

Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Tue, Jun 21, 2022 at 9:45 AM

To: Suzanne.Mason@met.police.uk

Hi Suzanne,

Thanks for getting back to me. I have found your earlier email that contains the rather stunning response.

Philip Hyland tells me that one of the first points he made in the crime complaint was that there was an onus on the MHRA to establish whether the virus really exists, and he referred to my [worldwide collection of FOIs responses](#) showing that no queried public body held an isolated/purified virus and hence could not scientifically establish existence.

I also provided 2 statements to the investigative team, advising them of the FOIs and their significance based on simple logic that anyone can understand.

This response from Metro Police is going to be made public and will reflect very poorly on the organization and their investigation. It suggests that the people involved in the investigation are either wildly unorganized and incompetent, or participating in a cover-up of worldwide fraud and crimes against humanity.

If Metro Police would like to avoid such embarrassment, I'd be willing to wait a week so that the search can be conducted and the necessary evidence provided if it actually exists.

If I don't hear back in a week, I will publish the response along with this email.

Thank you and best wishes,
Christine

[Quoted text hidden]

FW: response to 01/FOI/22/023689 is 2.5 months late

Suzanne.Mason@met.police.uk <Suzanne.Mason@met.police.uk>
To: cmssyc@gmail.com

Wed, Jun 22, 2022 at 7:09 AM

Dear Christine,

You can request an Internal Review if you are dissatisfied with the decision reached in my response, as detailed at the end of the response sent to you.

Kind regards,

Suzanne

S. Mason

Information Manager

Metropolitan Police Service

Email: Suzanne.Mason@met.police.uk

Core Location: New Peel House, Hendon



From: Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Sent: 21 June 2022 14:45

To: Mason Suzi - HQ Strategy & Governance <Suzanne.Mason@met.police.uk>

Subject: Re: FW: response to 01/FOI/22/023689 is 2.5 months late

Hi Suzanne,

Thanks for getting back to me. I have found your earlier email that contains the rather stunning response.



Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

FW: response to 01/FOI/22/023689 is 2.5 months late

Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>
To: Suzanne.Mason@met.police.uk

Wed, Jun 22, 2022 at 10:34 AM

Yes I realize that, thanks Suzanne. I'll just go public with the response.

Best wishes,
Christine
[Quoted text hidden]