



FOI request to University of Guelph re: "SARS-COV-2" purification

Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Thu, Dec 9, 2021 at 9:36 AM

To: fippa@uoguelph.ca, univsec@uoguelph.ca, g.gauthier@exec.uoguelph.ca

December 9, 2021

To:
Genevieve Gauthier-Chalifour
University Secretary
g.gauthier@exec.uoguelph.ca

Kristin Ingoldsby
Assistant University Secretary & Privacy/Judicial Officer
fippa@uoguelph.ca

University Secretariat
4th Floor, University Centre
University of Guelph
50 Stone Rd. E
Guelph, Ontario
Canada, N1G 2W1

Submitted via email to: g.gauthier@exec.uoguelph.ca, univsec@uoguelph.ca, fippa@uoguelph.ca,

Dear Genevieve and Kristin,

This is a formal request for access to general records, made under the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*.

Note: your website states: "Please note that requests received by electronic mail are not accepted since the legislation requires that requests be authenticated by an original signature; as a result, originals of the completed form are needed to proceed with a request and requests received by electronic mail cannot be processed without receipt of the original in hard copy format."

If you cite for me a provision in the [legislation](#) that evidences your claim above, I will consider downloading, printing, filling out and signing a hard copy form, placing it in an envelope, purchasing and affixing a stamp, walking to a mailbox and placing the envelope in the mailbox, so that you may "authenticate" my request when it reaches you days later.

I am aware of no such provision.

If you are unable to cite such a provision, kindly remove your false and misleading claim that causes confusion, misinformation and undue hardship for the public, from your website.

Please advise how you "authenticate" signed, hard copy requests, so that I may make an informed decision as to whether or not I would wish to proceed as per your demand, if you are able to cite such a provision.

I have successfully submitted literally dozens of FOI requests via email under the same legislation over the years and never once been advised of, or heard of, such a claim or provision.

Description of Requested Records:

1. All studies and/or reports in the possession, custody or control of:

- Dr. Byram W. Bridle (Department of Pathobiology, Ontario Veterinary College and One Health Institute, at University of Guelph), "COVID-19 vaccine" developer, and/or
- Dr. Bridle's fellow "COVID-19 vaccine" developing colleagues at the University of Guelph;
- University of Guelph's President, Vice-Presidents, Associate and Assistant Vice-Presidents, Vice-Provosts, Deans, Department Chairs, Board of Governors, Senate, Advisory Council, any health or science department head at University of Guelph, and/or

- any of the men/women serving in those positions, and/or
- any of the men/women responsible for the demand that anyone provide private health information (proof of so-called "vaccination") or "receive an approved exemption request", in order to access University campuses or University-managed facilities

describing the purification of the alleged "COVID-19 virus" (aka "SARS-COV-2", including any alleged "variants") directly from a sample taken from a diseased human, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum).

Clarification of my request:

Please note that I am not requesting studies/reports where researchers failed to purify the suspected "virus" and instead:

- cultured something, and/or
- performed an amplification test (i.e. PCR), and/or
- fabricated a genome from sequences detected in an impure substance, and/or
- produced electron microscopy images of unpurified things.

I am already aware that according to virus theory a "virus" requires host cells in order to replicate, and am not requesting records that describe replication of a "virus" without host cells. Nor am I requesting records that describe a strict fulfillment of Koch's Postulates, or records that describe a suspected "virus" floating in a vacuum, or private patient information.

I simply request records that describe purification (separation of the alleged virus from everything else in the patient sample, as per standard laboratory practices for the purification of other very small things).

Please note that my request includes any study/report matching the above description, authored by anyone, anywhere.

2. If the University is unable to provide or cite any such records as described above, then please provide the first published study(s)/report(s) that (in the opinion of Dr. Bridle and the man or woman ultimately responsible for the demand that anyone provide proof of so-called "vaccination" in order to access the University's campuses and facilities) proved the existence of "SARS-COV-2" in Wuhan, China.

If any records match the above descriptions of requested records and are currently available in the public domain, please provide enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each one with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, where the public may access it). Please provide URLs where possible.

Format:

Electronic (i.e. pdf) documents sent to me via email; I do not wish for anything to be shipped to me.

Application Fee:

I will mail a check for \$5 to the address listed above.

Contact Information:

Last name: Massey

First name: Christine

Address: [REDACTED] Peterborough, Ontario, Canada [REDACTED]

Phone: [REDACTED]

Email: cmssyc@gmail.com

Thank you in advance and best wishes,
Christine Massey, M.Sc.



Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

University of Guelph FIPPA Request 2021-012

FIO & Protection Privacy Act <privacy@uoguelph.ca>
To: Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Wed, Jan 19, 2022 at 12:15 PM

Hi Christine,

I hope this email finds you well.

We received your \$5.00 application fee and can now begin processing your request for information.

In order to proceed I do need some clarification from you.

1. Scope of request: your request as written includes the following groups of people to conduct searches in response to your request:
 - a. Byram Bridle
 - b. Covid-19 Vaccine developers
 - c. President, Vice-Presidents, Associate and Assistant Vice-Presidents, Vice-Provosts, Deans, Department Chairs, Board of Governors, Senate, Advisory Council, any health or science department head
 - d. Any of the men/women serving in the above positions
 - e. Any of the men/women responsible for the demand that anyone provide personal health information...

The University of Guelph Senate and Board of Governors consists of over 180 members, which does not include all Associate and Assistant Vice-Presidents, "advisory council" members, etc. I am also unaware of who the "COVID-19 vaccine developers" are and how many of them there could be.

The *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act* stipulates a user-pay principle (s.57) which would be calculated for each individual conducting searches for responsive information, which as you can imagine the resulting fees could be quite large solely on the basis that the Senate and Board of Governors alone includes over 180 individuals.

Please clarify/confirm the individuals that you would like included in the scope of your request. If the fee estimate is larger than \$100.00, the University may require a 50% deposit before further action is taken to process the request.

2. Records requested:

Currently I'm unclear what University of Guelph records you are seeking. For additional context, the *Act* applies only to records that are within the custody and control of the institution which allows the University to make decisions about the disclosure of the record, meaning records that may be in the possession of an employee does not necessarily mean the University has them in its custody and control to manage through the request process. Further, the *Act* allows institutions to exempt information that is already publicly available, meaning publicly available records are not provided through the formal request process.

Are you seeking records related to research conducted at the University of Guelph that meets the description you have provided? Are you seeking communications between named individuals at the University that include information that meets the description that you have provided? Or are you seeking records relative to the decision of the University to impose a vaccination mandate and collection of proof of vaccination data?

I think it may be helpful for us to chat over the phone so that I can better understand the nature of your request and to ensure it is well articulated to those who will need to conduct searches for responsive information.

All the best,

Kristin

Privacy & Records Management

University Secretariat | University of Guelph

[50 Stone Rd E | Guelph](#), ON | N1G 2W1

privacy@uoguelph.ca



IMPROVE LIFE.

The information in this message is intended solely for the addressee(s). If you are not the designated recipient, please notify the sender immediately, and permanently delete the original and any copies. Any use of the message by those other than the intended recipients is prohibited.



University of Guelph FIPPA Request 2021-012

Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>
To: FIO & Protection Privacy Act <privacy@uoguelph.ca>

Thu, Jan 27, 2022 at 7:31 PM

Hi Kristen,

I sent in my cheque over a month ago, and the 30 days for responding has already passed.

I realize that the Act only applies to records in the possession, custody or control of the University. I specified people who work at the University, but don't expect you to seek out records that are not in the possession, custody or control of the University.

I realize that the Act allows institutions to exempt information that is already publicly available, which is why I stated:

If any records match the above descriptions of requested records and are currently available in the public domain, please provide enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each one with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, where the public may access it). Please provide URLs where possible.

My email also stated: "Please note that my request includes **any study/report** matching the above description". I did not specify records related to research conducted at the University of Guelph, or communications between named individuals at the University, or records relative to the decision of the University to impose a "vaccination" mandate etc.

Below are "no records" responses from 6 other Canadian universities, 5 of them in Ontario and subject to the same legislation as University of Guelph. None of them charged me anything over and above the \$5 application fee.

[McGill University](#)
[University of Ottawa](#)
[University of Waterloo](#)
[Dalhousie University](#)
[University of Toronto](#)
[McMaster University](#)

Below are "no records" responses from 40 more Canadian institutions. None of them charged me anything over and above the \$5 application fee.

Canadian institutions have provided their responses: [Public Health Agency of Canada](#) (and another from [Public Health Agency of Canada](#), this one re the alleged "UK variant" aka "B.1.1.7" aka "Alpha"; and another from [Public Health Agency of Canada](#) re any alleged virus/variant, and another from [Public Health Agency of Canada](#) re ANY type of alleged virus at all), [Health Canada](#) (and another from [Health Canada](#); and another from [Health Canada](#)), the [National Research Council of Canada](#), [Vaccine and Infectious Disease Organization-International Vaccine Centre \(VIDO-InterVac\)](#), [Canadian Institutes of Health Research](#), [Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada](#), [Patented Medicine Prices Review Board Canada](#), [Royal Canadian Mounted Police \(RCMP\)](#), [Public Health Ontario](#), [Ontario Ministry of Health](#) (and another from [Ontario Ministry of Health](#) re "delta variant", [University Health Network](#), [Ontario Ministry of the Solicitor General and Ontario Provincial Police](#), [Alberta Ministry of Health](#), [Alberta Premier Jason Kenney, his Office and Executive Council](#), [Calgary Police Service](#), [Institut National de Sante Publique du Quebec](#) (another from [Public Health Quebec](#)), [British Columbia's Ministry of Health](#) (re "the UK variant"), [British Columbia's Centre for Disease Control](#), [British Columbia's Provincial Health Services Authority](#) (2 responses, 1 re "SARS-COV-2", 1 re the alleged "B.1.1.7" aka "Alpha variant" aka "UK variant"), [Vancouver Coastal Health Authority](#) (re "B.1.1.7" aka "Alpha variant" aka "UK variant"), [Newfoundland Labrador Department of Health & Community Services](#), [New Brunswick's Department/Ministry of Health](#), the [City of Toronto](#), [Toronto Police](#) (and another from [Toronto Police](#)), [Halton Region](#), [Hamilton Police Service](#) (Ontario), the [Region of Peel](#) (Ontario), [Region of Durham](#) (Ontario); [KFL&A Public Health](#) (Kingston, Frontenac, Lennox and Addington, Ontario, re "any variant"), [Grey Bruce Health Services](#), [Grey Bruce Health Unit](#), [Niagara Regional Police Service](#), [Peterborough Public Health \(Ontario\)](#), [Peterborough Police Service](#) (Ontario) (another from [Peterborough Police](#)), [Aylmer Police Service](#) (Ontario) (and another from [Aylmer Police](#)), [Woodstock Police Service](#) (Ontario), [Sudbury Police Service](#) (Ontario), [Hastings Prince Edward Public Health](#) (Ontario), [Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre](#), and [Mount Sinai Hospital](#) (Toronto) (note that researchers from the last 4 institutions had publicly claimed to have "isolated the virus", as had VIDO-Intervac).

In total, 164 institutions in 30 countries have all failed to provide or cite even 1 such record:
<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/fois-reveal-that-health-science-institutions-around-the-world-have-no-record-of-sars-cov-2-isolation-purification/>

So it's quite clear that no one else on the planet has any such record.

Any competent man or woman at University of Guelph should either 1) realize that they don't have any such record either, and thus not bother wasting time looking for one, or 2) realize they have something immensely important to the entire world, in which case it should be at their fingertips and take no time at all to locate.

If any additional fee is required for some strange reason, since the results are of public importance and related to a public health matter, I would be seeking a waiver of the fee.

So please go ahead with the request as is.

Thank you and best wishes,
Christine

[Quoted text hidden]



Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

University of Guelph FIPPA Request 2021-012

FIO & Protection Privacy Act <privacy@uoguelph.ca>
To: Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Thu, Feb 10, 2022 at 3:43 PM

Hello Christine,

Please see the attached final decision letter regarding your recent request for information.

All the best,

Kristin

Privacy & Records Management

University Secretariat | University of Guelph


50 Stone Rd E | Guelph, ON | N1G 2W1

privacy@uoguelph.ca



IMPROVE LIFE.

The information in this message is intended solely for the addressee(s). If you are not the designated recipient, please notify the sender immediately, and permanently delete the original and any copies. Any use of the message by those other than the intended recipients is prohibited.

 **2021-012_decision letter.pdf**
183K



February 9, 2022

Reference Number: 2021-012

Christine Massey

Via email: cmssyc@gmail.com

Dear Christine,

On December 9, 2021, we received your request for information under the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act (FIPPA)*, as follows:

Description of Requested Records:

1. *All studies and/or reports in the possession, custody or control of:*

- *Dr. Byram W. Bridle (Department of Pathobiology, Ontario Veterinary College and One Health Institute, at University of Guelph), "COVID-19 vaccine" developer, and/or*
- *Dr. Bridle's fellow "COVID-19 vaccine" developing colleagues at the University of Guelph;*
- *University of Guelph's President, Vice-Presidents, Associate and Assistant Vice-Presidents, Vice-Provosts, Deans, Department Chairs, Board of Governors, Senate, Advisory Council, any health or science department head at University of Guelph, and/or*
- *any of the men/women serving in those positions, and/or*
- *any of the men/women responsible for the [demand](#) that anyone provide private health information (proof of so-called "vaccination") or "receive an approved exemption request", in order to access University campuses or University-managed facilities*

describing the purification of the alleged "COVID-19 virus" (aka "SARS-COV-2", including any alleged "variants") directly from a sample taken from a diseased human, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum).

Clarification of my request:

Please note that I am not requesting studies/reports where researchers failed to purify the suspected "virus" and instead:

- *cultured something, and/or*
- *performed an amplification test (i.e. PCR), and/or*

- *fabricated a genome from sequences detected in an impure substance, and/or*
- *produced electron microscopy images of unpurified things.*

I am already aware that according to virus theory a “virus” requires host cells in order to replicate, and am not requesting records that describe replication of a "virus" without host cells. Nor am I requesting records that describe a strict fulfillment of Koch’s Postulates, or records that describe a suspected “virus” floating in a vacuum, or private patient information.

I simply request records that describe purification (separation of the alleged virus from everything else in the patient sample, as per standard laboratory practices for the purification of other very small things).

Please note that my request includes any study/report matching the above description, authored by anyone, anywhere.

2. If the University is unable to provide or cite any such records as described above, then please provide the first published study(s)/report(s) that (in the opinion of Dr. Bridle and the man or woman ultimately responsible for the demand that anyone provide proof of so-called "vaccination" in order to access the University's campuses and facilities) proved the existence of "SARS-COV-2" in Wuhan, China.

If any records match the above descriptions of requested records and are currently available in the public domain, please provide enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each one with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, where the public may access it). Please provide URLs where possible.

On January 12, 2022, the Privacy Office received the mandatory \$5.00 application fee to activate your request for response.

Section 65(8.1)(a) of the Act stipulates the Act does not apply “to a record respecting or associated with research conducted or proposed by an employee of an educational institution or by a person associated with an educational institution”. Accordingly, the request for any study/report described above is considered outside of the scope of the Act. You may ask for a review of this decision within 30 days of receiving this letter by writing to: Registrar, Information and Privacy Commissioner/Ontario, 2 Bloor Street East, Suite 1400, Toronto, Ontario M4W 1A8, Telephone (416) 326-3333 or toll free 1-800-387-0073.

If you decide to request a review of this decision, please provide the Commissioner’s office with the following: the file number listed at the beginning of this letter; a copy of this decision letter; and, a copy of the original request for information you sent to the institution.

In addition, you must send an appeal fee to the Commissioner's office. Please include the fee with your letter of appeal – appeal fees should be in the form of either a cheque or money order, payable to the Minister of Finance.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Genevieve Gauthier-Chalifour".

Genevieve Gauthier-Chalifour, University Secretary

Cc. Kristin Ingoldsby, Assistant University Secretary & Privacy/Judicial Officer



University of Guelph FIPPA Request 2021-012

Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>
To: FIO & Protection Privacy Act <privacy@uoguelph.ca>

Fri, Feb 11, 2022 at 10:51 AM

Hi Kirstin,

The response is nonsensical and not in accordance with the legislation.

It states that: "*the Act stipulates the Act does not apply "to a record respecting or associated with research conducted or proposed by an employee of an educational institution or by a person associated with an educational institution". Accordingly, the request for any study/report described above is considered outside of the scope of the Act.*"

There is nothing in my request that specifies records respecting or associated with research conducted or proposed by an employee of an educational institution or by a person associated with an educational institution.

It's ridiculous to suggest that all laboratory work is conducted or proposed by an employee of an educational institution or by a person associated with an educational institution.

And, the section cited is clearly not intended for application to **imaginary, theoretical** records.

All 48 Canadian institutions (including Public Health Agency of Canada) that were previously queried with the same request admitted to having no such records. All 118 additional institutions from over 25 additional countries also failed to provide or cite any such records. No one on the planet appears to have any record of the alleged virus having been purified from any patient sample even though purification from **many** patient samples would be necessary to prove the existence of a deadly virus circulating in humans. (All of the previous responses are publicly available on my website: <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/fois-reveal-that-health-science-institutions-around-the-world-have-no-record-of-sars-cov-2-isolation-purification/>.)

If the University also has no such records, then:

- the exception under 65(8.1)(a) is nonsensical and irrelevant because a nonexistent record is not respecting or associated with anything, and
- section 29(1) requires Genevieve to state that there is no such record.

Contents of notice of refusal

29 (1) Notice of refusal to give access to a record or a part thereof under section 26 shall set out

- (a) **where there is no such record,**
(i) **that there is no such record...**

If the University does have such a record, **then there is some possibility that the alleged deadly virus actually exists**, in which case she needs to disclose the record(s) posthaste, as per section 11(1):

Obligation to disclose

11 (1) Despite any other provision of this Act, a head shall, as soon as practicable, disclose any record to the public or persons affected if the head has reasonable and probable grounds to believe that it is in the public interest to do so and that the record reveals a grave environmental, health or safety hazard to the public.

Best wishes,
Christine

[Quoted text hidden]